WP No-9/2026

EGROW Foundation
FOUNDATION FOR ECONOMIC
GROWTH & WELFARE

EGROW WORKING PAPER

Why 8,000 Indian Cities Refuse to Stop Being Villages: Food Rations, Conglomerate Subsidies, and the Neglect of Heartland India

Ejaz Ghani

November 2026

FOUNDATION FOR ECONOMIC GROWTH AND WELFARE

EGROW Foundation Policy Papers is a research piece focusing on specific policy issue that provide clear recommendation for policy maker. The views expressed in EGROW Policy Papers are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the EGROW Foundation, Executive Board, or EGROW Foundation management.

Why 8,000 Indian Cities Refuse to Stop Being Villages:

Food Rations, Conglomerate Subsidies, and the Neglect of Heartland India

By

Ejaz Ghani[1]

November 2026

Abstract

While India has virtually eradicated extreme hunger—reducing the share of citizens living below $3.00 per day to 2.6 per cent by 2026—80 per cent of its population (1.15 billion people) remains trapped below the $8.30-a-day threshold required for middle-class economic security. Drawing on two decades of longitudinal factory, household, banking, and geospatial data across over 260 districts, this paper argues that India's middle-class bottleneck stems from a governance failure: the country has already urbanised on the ground, yet continues to administer 8,014 functionally urban settlements as rural villages.

Priced out of megacities by strict building caps and land rigidities, factories and workers urbanised along highways and regional corridors, with 61.2 per cent of these settlements concentrated in eight youthful Heartland states. Governed as Gram Panchayats rather than municipalities, they lack basic utilities, healthcare, and local bank credit, leaving 63 million unincorporated enterprises stunted. This "denied urbanisation" persists because residents fear losing rural food rations and wage guarantees upon municipal notification, while governments avoid urban infrastructure costs to preserve corporate subsidies. Ahead of the 2027 Budget and Census, four debt-neutral reforms—welfare hold-harmless guarantees, reallocated infrastructure grants, gender-inclusive Census rules, and modernised land records—can lift annual GDP growth by 1.8 to 2.5 percentage points toward Viksit Bharat 2047

[1] Senior Visiting Fellow, Centre for Policy Research (New Delhi); Senior Fellow, Pune International Centre; EGROW Foundation

Keywords: Rurban Constellation, Denied Urbanisation, National Food Security Act, Article 243Q, SARFAESI Section 31(i), Unified Lending Interface (ULI 2.0), Census 2027, Heartland India, Viksit Bharat 2047

JEL Classifications: O18 (Urban, Rural, Regional, and Transportation Analysis; Housing; Infrastructure), R12 (Size and Spatial Distributions of Regional Economic Activity), R51 (Finance in Urban and Rural Economies), H77 (Intergovernmental Relations; Federalism; Secession), J16 (Economics of Gender; Non-labor Discrimination), G21 (Banks; Depository Institutions; Micro Finance Institutions; Mortgages).

Executive Summary

India approaches 2027 celebrating a historic triumph over nineteenth-century hunger while confronting a stubborn twenty-first-century middle-class bottleneck. According to the World Bank's October 2026 poverty assessment (measured at 2021 Purchasing Power Parity thresholds), universal biometric identity, digital cash transfers, and free monthly grain rations reaching more than 800 million citizens have driven extreme destitution—living on less than $3.00 a day—down from 27.1 per cent in 2011–12 to 5.3 per cent in 2022–23, and to just 2.6 per cent in 2026. Yet step one rung higher up the income ladder, and celebration gives way to widespread economic vulnerability. Four-fifths of India's 1.4 billion citizens—1.15 billion people, or 80.0 per cent in 2026 (down barely from 82.1 per cent in 2022–23)—remain trapped below the $8.30-a-day global threshold required for basic middle-class security. Even India's remarkably low reported consumption Gini index—25.5 on baseline cash expenditure and compressing toward 23.0 once free in-kind grain transfers are imputed—is a policy warning rather than a badge of Nordic affluence. Alongside the inability of household consumption surveys to capture top-percentile wealth, it reflects **mass compression**: nearly four out of five Indian families sit packed inside a narrow, fragile band between $3.00 and $8.30 a day—no longer starving, yet one hospitalisation, monsoon failure, or credit squeeze away from financial distress in an economy where out-of-pocket medical bills still absorb nearly half of all health spending and youth unemployment edged up to 15.9 per cent in early FY2026–27.

Why has the world's fastest-growing major economy struggled to convert caloric survival into broad entrepreneurship, formal job creation, and resilient domestic consumer demand? Two decades of longitudinal factory, household, banking, and satellite evidence across more than 260 Indian districts point to a single structural cause: **India has already urbanised on the**

ground, yet the Indian state still governs 8,014 emerging cities as nineteenth-century farming villages.

Contrary to development textbooks that expected rural workers to pour into Mumbai, Delhi, Bengaluru, or Chennai, India's megacities priced out both land-hungry factories and low-income migrants through fragmented urban land markets and some of the world's strictest building-height caps (Floor Space Index limits of 1.33 to 2.5 in metropolitan cores, compared with 8 to 15 across East Asia). Across major industrial regions, nearly two-thirds (63.4 per cent) of new formal factory capital investment has relocated 10 to 50 kilometres outside municipal boundaries along national highways, compared with just 18.7 per cent inside metropolitan cores. Simultaneously, millions of families leaving fragmented farms—especially women deterred by punitive metropolitan rents, unsafe 90-minute commutes, and the loss of extended-family childcare—have urbanised in place and along regional trade corridors. Between 2001 and 2011, while state governments notified only 242 new statutory municipalities nationwide, non-municipal Census Towns nearly tripled from 1,362 to 3,894. Across the sixteen-year census freeze ahead of March 2027, high-resolution satellite built-up imagery, night-time luminosity data, and geo-coded Goods and Services Tax Network (GSTN) and UDYAM enterprise registries reveal that this **Rurban Constellation** now encompasses **8,014 functionally urban settlements**, housing **120 million residents within their core footprints and over 300 million functional urbanites across contiguous corridors**.

Crucially, this unrecognised urban network sits at the centre of a widening demographic divide across **"Two Indias."** Fully **61.2 per cent of these 8,014 emerging cities are located across eight youthful Indo-Gangetic and Eastern Heartland states**—Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, West Bengal, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Odisha, Jharkhand, and Assam—which account for nearly three-fifths of India's remaining poor and will supply **68.4 per cent of India's net workforce additions (more than 110 million young workers)** between 2026 and 2047.

Empirical district studies tracking formal factories and unincorporated enterprises prove that the strongest catalysts of new business formation and job growth in India are **local physical infrastructure** (24x7 commercial power, piped clean water, underground sewerage, paved roads, and public transit) and **local human infrastructure** (secondary schools, technical skilling, preventive health clinics, and safe, well-lit streets and childcare for women). When dense towns of 25,000 to 90,000 residents are governed as rural *Gram Panchayats* with no municipal charter or town-planning authority, they are systematically starved of both, turning population density into congestion rather than productivity:

- **Water Poisoning and Health Shocks:** Caught between rural Jal Jeevan Mission borewell norms (55 litres per person daily, with zero sewerage mandate) and excluded from statutory-only urban AMRUT 2.0 water and sewer grids (135 litres per person), more than 730 blocks face severe aquifer depletion. Working families spend 8 to 10 per cent of monthly income on private water tankers while raw septage and untreated industrial effluents contaminate groundwater—leaving 28.3 per cent of tested samples nationwide in breach of safe drinking limits (20.7 per cent exceeding nitrate thresholds) and fuelling chronic illness and medical impoverishment.
- **Afternoon Power Blackouts and Indoor Heat Losses:** Wired to rationed rural electricity feeders plagued by six-hour cuts and voltage drops, small-town workshops burn captive diesel at ₹26 per unit beneath uninsulated tin roofs running 4°C to 7°C hotter than outdoor shade—destroying 15 to 30 per cent of daily worker output during summer and humid monsoon months.
- **Stunted Human Capital and Heartland Savings Flight:** Women lose 312 minutes every day to unpaid water and care drudgery (up to 750 hours a year carrying water alone), while commercial bank branches in Heartland small-town districts—operating at dismal Credit-to-Deposit ratios of 25 to 44 per cent even on a place-of-utilisation basis, versus 95 to 115 per cent in metropolitan centres—export local household and remittance deposits into big-city corporate loans and excess holdings of 7.1 per cent central government bonds. Deprived of urban utilities and healthy, skilled ecosystems, India's 63 million unincorporated non-farm enterprises (employing 80 per cent of non-farm workers) remain permanently stunted below ten workers at one-fifth the productivity and one-thirteenth the wages of formal companies.

Why has a vibrant democracy left 8,014 functional cities trapped inside village governance? Because India's statutory welfare and fiscal rules have locked citizens and governments into a **two-sided political bargain of denied urbanisation**:

- **Why 8,000 Cities Refuse to Give Up Village Status:** Becoming an official city punishes low-income households and local leaders overnight. Under Section 3 of the National Food Security Act (governing free monthly PMGKAY grain rations), statutory ration-card coverage protects **75 per cent of the rural population**, but drops sharply to **50 per cent in urban areas**. The day a *Gram Panchayat* is notified as a municipality (*Nagar Panchayat*) under Article 243Q(2) of the Constitution, up to one-third of local families risk losing free monthly foodgrains, residents forfeit 100 days of guaranteed MGNREGA rural wage employment and PMAY-Gramin housing grants overnight, sitting village

councils risk mid-term dissolution, domestic electricity tariffs jump to urban slabs, and municipal property taxes arrive years before piped water, sewers, or clinics materialise—prompting notified small towns in Goa, Maharashtra, and Tamil Nadu to petition to be downgraded back into villages.

- **Why the Central Government Resists Turning Them into Cities:** Modernising 8,014 towns with piped water, sewage treatment, 24x7 power, schools, and clinics requires sustained public investment of roughly **1.7 to 2.0 per cent of GDP annually**—while shifting states from 90:10 Union-funded rural schemes onto 50:50 urban matching rules and state-funded municipal payrolls. Financing that Heartland urban transformation would compel New Delhi to **redirect fiscal space away from the 1.8 to 2.2 per cent of GDP steered annually into corporate tax cuts, Production-Linked Incentives (PLI), state mega-plant subsidies, and dedicated freight corridors for automated conglomerates**. Concentrated in seven richer, demographically aging Western and Southern states—which capture **71.4 per cent of industrial subsidies** despite supplying barely **21.6 per cent of incoming young workers**—capital-intensive conglomerates generate over 80 per cent of formal factory output with barely 20 per cent of factory jobs. Keeping 8,014 towns pacified on rural grain rations (costing roughly 0.6 per cent of GDP) spares central and state budgets nearly 2 per cent of GDP in overdue urban investment while preserving generous capital subsidies at the corporate top.

Reinforcing this fiscal pact are three statutory locks: the **Proviso to Article 243Q(1)**, which allows 500-acre highway factories to wall themselves off as tax-exempt "Industrial Townships" paying zero municipal taxes to the bankrupt worker villages fifty metres outside their gates; an archaic **1961 Census rule** counting only *male* full-time workers toward the 75 per cent non-farm urban threshold, erasing thousands of female-powered textile, agro-processing, and service towns; and **Section 31(i) of the SARFAESI Act, 2002**, which freezes $240 billion to $480 billion of un-surveyed town buildings still recorded as farmland in nineteenth-century village registries (*Khasra/Khatauni*).

Ahead of the **February 2027 Union Budget** and the **March 2027 Census**, New Delhi and state capitals can break this deadlock without adding a single rupee to sovereign or municipal debt—and without repeating China's coercive rural land seizures and $9 trillion local-government debt crisis—through four synchronised reforms:

1. **Enact a Seven-Year Food-Ration, Welfare, and Political Hold-Harmless Compact:** Statutorily decouple NFSA/PMGKAY food-ration eligibility from municipal notification so zero families lose grain rations when their village upgrades into a city (converging

small-town ration rules onto household deprivation indicators after Year 4), convert sitting *Gram Panchayats* automatically into interim *Nagar Panchayat* councils for the remainder of their five-year constitutional terms under Article 243E, and redeploy already-budgeted MGNREGA wages during Years 1 to 3 to build town storm drains, footpaths, school walls, and cool roofs (tapering across Years 4 to 7 at zero net new central welfare cost), paired with housing-grant parity and a three-year property-tax moratorium on homes under 1,000 square feet until piped water goes live.

2. **Rebalance Conglomerate Subsidies and Trunk Capex into a 90:10 Heartland Cities Physical and Human Infrastructure Mission:** Combine sunsetting low-job-multiplier corporate subsidies with re-routing one-fifth of existing Union and State trunk capex budgets (0.8 to 1.2 per cent of GDP annually)—paired with a parallel Article 282 Small-City Mirror Window matching Sixteenth Finance Commission wastewater and urbanisation norms and a 25 per cent municipal cess on tax-exempt Industrial Townships—to fund Cluster-Level Regional Water and Sewage Utilities (135 litres per capita), Shared Cluster Municipal Cadres, feeder-separated 24x7 solar-backed urban commercial power (₹4.50 per kWh replacing ₹26 per kWh diesel), secondary technical skilling labs, 24x7 community clinics, lit women's transit, and *Palna* childcare crèches at a 90:10 Union-to-State match during Years 1 to 3.

3. **Abolish the 1961 Male-Only Census Rule Before March 2027:** Issue an immediate Registrar General circular under Union List Entry 69 replacing the male-only test with a 70 per cent gender-inclusive total non-farm workforce standard (counting main and 90-day marginal workers across both provisional pre-enumeration satellite rosters and 2027 village tables), backed by an annual satellite, electricity-load, and GSTN trigger placing transition grants automatically into RBI escrows.

4. **Modernise Town Land Records to Keep Heartland Savings Investing Locally:** Pair an 18-month drone survey issuing one-time stamp-duty-free Joint Husband-and-Wife urban property cards (*Sampatti Patras*) with a conclusive functional-use safe harbour in SARFAESI Section 31(i) on RBI's Unified Lending Interface (ULI 2.0) and 30-day summary tribunal disposal, reversing small-town deposit flight with prudent 20–25 per cent bank risk retention so commercial banks finance local builders, schools, clinics, and scaling enterprises at 9 to 11 per cent interest—lifting India's net sustainable annual growth trajectory by 1.8 to 2.5 percentage points on the road to *Viksit Bharat 2047*.

NOTE FOR POLICY PRACTITIONERS & EMPIRICAL CALIBRATIONS

Author's Note on Geospatial Mapping, Survey Series, and General-Equilibrium Derivations: To keep the institutional and legislative blueprint accessible for Cabinet Ministers, Finance and Urban Secretaries, central bankers, and legislators, formal spatial general-equilibrium equations and district regression tables have been omitted from the main text. The roster of **8,014 functional cities** merges the 3,894 non-municipal Census Towns identified in 2011 with 4,120 post-2011 settlements clearing four simultaneous 2026 thresholds: gridded population exceeding 5,000, density of at least 400 persons per sq. km, Sentinel-2/GHSL impervious built-up surface coverage of at least 35 per cent, and active VIIRS commercial radiance / geo-coded GSTN and UDYAM enterprise density. On household inequality, India's baseline cash-consumption Gini stands at 25.5 in the World Bank's Poverty and Inequality Platform (2021 PPP, aligned with HCES 2022–23) and compresses toward 23.0 once imputed in-kind PMGKAY grain and state welfare transfers are incorporated at the lower tail. Full mathematical proofs, longitudinal ASI–NSSO/ASUSE district panels across 260+ districts, and calibration matrices appear in the author's forthcoming volume, *The Second Republic: A Charter to Remake the Indian State and Unleash a Nation's Potential* (Oxford University Press, ISBN 9780197914885).

1. The Great Indian Paradox: Conquering $3.00 Hunger While Trapping 1.15 Billion Citizens Below $8.30 Security

1.1 Two Realities Thirty Kilometres Apart

Leave the glass office towers of Mumbai's Bandra-Kurla Complex, Gurugram's Cyber Hub, or Chennai's port-industrial corridors and drive thirty kilometres down any national highway, and two seemingly irreconcilable truths about contemporary India come into view at the same moment.

The first truth—rightly recognised in sovereign credit upgrades and ministerial briefings—is an extraordinary achievement of digital welfare statecraft. Over the past decade and a half, steady aggregate growth paired with universal biometric identity (Aadhaar), basic Jan Dhan bank accounts, direct digital benefit transfers, and free monthly grain rations reaching over 800 million citizens under the Pradhan Mantri Garib Kalyan Anna Yojana (PMGKAY) erected a

durable survival floor beneath India's poorest households. Calibrated to global 2021 Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) benchmarks in the World Bank's October 2026 *Poverty and Equity Brief*, the share of Indians enduring extreme destitution on less than $3.00 a day fell from 27.1 per cent in 2011–12 to 5.3 per cent in 2022–23, and down to **2.6 per cent in 2026** (World Bank, 2026). A civilisation that entered the twenty-first century home to the world's largest concentration of acute hunger has, within a single generation, virtually abolished nineteenth-century starvation.

Yet the second truth confronts economic policymakers every quarter in tepid mass-market demand and strained youth labour markets. If an economy of 1.4 billion people is expanding at 6.5 to 7.0 per cent a year and has practically eliminated extreme poverty, why do fast-moving consumer goods (FMCG) producers and entry-level durable manufacturers repeatedly report sluggish rural and semi-urban volume growth? Why do domestic private corporations sit on deleveraged balance sheets yet hesitate to commit fresh capital to broad-based domestic capacity? Why did youth unemployment tick up from 14.6 per cent to **15.9 per cent** in the opening quarter of FY2026–27 even as millions of university graduates queue for a handful of government clerkships? And why has working-family reliance on high-interest gold loans and unsecured retail credit surged across small towns?

Every one of those macroeconomic puzzles resolves the instant policymakers lift the statistical yardstick from **biological survival ($3.00 a day)** to **basic economic security ($8.30 a day)**—the World Bank's global benchmark for upper-middle-income societies, representing the minimum household consumption required to educate children, purchase basic modern durables, and weather an unexpected health or income shock without sliding into debt traps:

- **At $3.00 a day (Extreme Destitution):** Only **2.6 per cent** of Indians remain below the threshold in 2026, confirming that digital welfare rails and public grain distribution have effectively conquered acute caloric deprivation.
- **At $4.20 a day (Lower-Middle-Income Poverty):** Raise the bar by just $1.20 a day above bare survival, and roughly one-seventh to nearly one-quarter of the nation slips back into poverty—**23.9 per cent** (more than 340 million citizens) in the 2022–23 survey benchmark, easing only gradually to 16.1 per cent in 2023 and **14.2 per cent** (roughly 200 million people) in 2026.
- **At $8.30 a day (Upper-Middle-Income Vulnerability):** Evaluated against the threshold of genuine middle-class security, **80.0 per cent of India's population—1.15 billion citizens, barely moved from 82.1 per cent in 2022–23—remain trapped in economic**

precarity (World Bank, 2026). Fewer than one in five Indians enjoys durable middle-class security.

1.2 Unmasking the Consumption Gini Compression Illusion

Viewed alongside these three thresholds, another widely cited headline statistic—India's low official consumption Gini index of **25.5** on baseline cash outlays, compressing toward **23.0** once imputed free grain transfers are counted—turns from a badge of egalitarian pride into a sobering diagnostic warning. Taken at face value, such a Gini coefficient would make India appear more equal than Scandinavian social democracies or East Asian industrial economies. In reality, it reflects two structural phenomena that obscure India's central development challenge.

The first is a familiar measurement blind spot at the top: household consumption expenditure surveys systematically miss top-percentile capital gains, dividend streams, luxury real estate, and corporate wealth. The second—and far more consequential reality for macroeconomic policy—is **mass compression** across the bottom four-fifths of society. By imputing the value of free monthly foodgrains and basic welfare transfers at the lower end of the distribution while failing to generate formal wage employment across the middle, India's growth pattern has lifted hundreds of millions of families out of the sub-$3.00 basement only to pack **77.4 per cent of the entire nation—nearly 1.1 billion citizens—into a narrow, crowded mezzanine between $3.00 and $8.30 a day**.

Households packed into this mezzanine no longer go to bed hungry, which is a genuine moral and administrative triumph. Make no mistake, however: an income of $4.50 or $6.00 per person per day cannot power a $30 trillion *Viksit Bharat* consumer economy. Once a semi-urban or rural family pays for basic shelter, cooking fuel, daily transport, and modest school fees, its discretionary surplus is near zero. Worse still, in an economy where out-of-pocket medical payments continue to absorb roughly **48 per cent of total health expenditure**, families in the $3.00-to-$8.30 band live without a shock absorber. A single bout of dengue requiring private hospitalisation, a chronic kidney illness from contaminated local water, an unseasonal monsoon crop loss, or a working-capital freeze does not merely trim discretionary spending; it liquidates household gold savings and pushes vulnerable families straight back toward the $4.20 and $3.00 lines. India's low consumption Gini is the statistical signature of shared vulnerability, not shared affluence—and it imposes a binding domestic demand ceiling on private corporate investment.

1.3 Why Food Rations Prevent Famine, But Only Real Cities Create Entrepreneurs and Jobs

Why has the world's fastest-growing major economy struggled to convert biological survival into mass upward mobility? Because across economic history, food rations and cash transfers prevent destitution, **but they never create a middle class**. Broad middle-class prosperity is generated only when millions of productive new enterprises form, invest in modern equipment and premises, and compete fiercely to hire workers into formal, rising-wage jobs.

Today, India's private production landscape is split into a lopsided **Barbell Economy** that starves the labour market of precisely those jobs. At the heavy corporate end of the barbell sits a narrow tier of balance-sheet-strong conglomerates that command formal bank credit, expressway logistics, and central and state industrial subsidies. Yet to meet global precision standards, modern conglomerates import capital-intensive industrial robotics and automated assembly lines rather than building labour-intensive workshops. Across the organised factory sector tracked by the Annual Survey of Industries (ASI), real fixed capital per worker has climbed sharply over the past fifteen years while the employment elasticity of output has fallen toward zero. As a result, India's formal corporate sector now generates **more than 80 per cent of formal manufacturing output while employing barely 20 per cent of the manufacturing workforce**.

At the opposite end of the barbell sit **63 million unincorporated non-agricultural enterprises**—small grain mills, garment-stitching units, lathe and welding sheds, power looms, footwear workshops, clinics, and retail stalls tracked by National Sample Survey rounds and the Annual Survey of Unincorporated Sector Enterprises (ASUSE)—which employ **four out of every five non-farm workers in India**. In successful transitions across East Asia, Europe, and North America, young enterprises aged zero to seven years serve as the primary engine of wage growth, generating over 70 per cent of net new formal jobs and expanding their workforces four- to eight-fold by their tenth year of operation (Hsieh & Klenow, 2014). Across India, longitudinal enterprise panels show that this lifecycle escalator is jammed: a typical ten- or fifteen-year-old Indian establishment employs virtually the same number of people as a brand-new startup—fewer than ten workers, and overwhelmingly fewer than five—operating at less than **one-fifth the total factor productivity** and paying **one-thirteenth the wages per worker** of formal corporations.

Standard policy debates routinely misdiagnose this stunting as an isolated micro-enterprise problem—blaming cultural caution among small proprietors, rigid labour statutes that bite only above high worker thresholds, or minor gaps in micro-loan schemes. Two decades of granular

district-level research across more than 260 Indian districts point to a far deeper structural truth: **entrepreneurship and formal job creation do not happen in an institutional vacuum; they happen in well-equipped cities** (Ghani, Kerr, & O'Connell, 2013, 2014; Desmet, Ghani, O'Connell, & Rossi-Hansberg, 2015).

Across Indian districts, the two decisive forces that determine whether dense human settlements spawn waves of fast-growing young employers—or stagnate as clusters of five-person survival sheds—are **local physical infrastructure** (uninterrupted 24x7 commercial electricity, piped clean water, underground sewerage, paved roads, and public transit) and **local human infrastructure** (strong secondary schools, technical skilling labs, preventive public health clinics, and safe, well-lit streets and childcare centres that allow women to work outside the home). When growing cities deliver those physical and human foundations, population density ignites powerful agglomeration gains: firms share skilled labour pools and specialised suppliers, worker health and afternoon productivity rise, local bank savings finance local expansion, and small startups grow naturally into fifty- and two-hundred-worker formal enterprises.

When population density rises *without* urban governance or urban public goods, density curdles into congestion, polluted aquifers, power blackouts, heat-stricken sheds, and stunted informal survivalism. That is the hidden geographic root of India's $8.30-a-day trap: hundreds of millions of Indians have already left farming to build more than 8,000 dense, functionally urban towns across the republic—yet on government paper, the Indian state still governs those cities as nineteenth-century farming villages.

2. Where India Actually Urbanised: Spatial Inversion, "Two Indias," and 8,014 Disguised Cities

2.1 How India's Megacity Escalator Snapped

For more than half a century, economic planners in New Delhi and international development agencies assumed that India would follow East Asia along a single, predictable geographic escalator. In post-war Japan, South Korea, Taiwan, and post-1978 China, structural transformation unfolded as a one-way march from the farm to the metropolis: surplus rural workers left low-productivity villages and migrated into fast-growing coastal and metropolitan cities—Seoul, Taipei, Shenzhen, Guangzhou—where large formal factories absorbed them into assembly-line employment. As metropolitan cores grew denser, urban scale raised productivity, formal wages climbed, and an industrial middle class emerged.

When we assemble two decades of longitudinal establishment data across more than 260 consistently tracked districts—combining formal factory records from the Annual Survey of Industries (ASI) with unincorporated enterprise panels from the National Sample Survey (NSSO) and the Annual Survey of Unincorporated Sector Enterprises (ASUSE, 2021–2026), together covering over four-fifths of Indian manufacturing—a striking empirical reality emerges: **in India, that village-to-megacity conveyor belt has snapped.**

Rather than converging inside metropolitan boundaries, formal corporate capital and informal working families have moved in opposite directions—a structural shift best understood as **spatial inversion**:

- **Formal Factory Capital Fled the Megacities:** In 1989, just before economic liberalisation, **69.0 per cent** of formal manufacturing employment in India was located inside statutory urban municipalities. By the mid-2000s, that urban share had fallen to **57.0 per cent**, and over the subsequent two decades—as the Golden Quadrilateral and North–South and East–West national highway corridors connected the interior—the retreat of formal industry from big cities accelerated sharply (Ghani, Grover Goswami, & Kerr, 2016). Indian megacities priced formal factories out through self-inflicted urban land rigidities: fragmented municipal titles, legacy scars from urban land ceiling laws, and some of the world's most restrictive building-height caps. Whereas metropolitan cores in Tokyo, Singapore, Shanghai, and New York permit Floor Space Index (FSI) ratios of 8.0 to 15.0, Indian metropolitan cores historically capped vertical density between **1.33 and 2.5**. While relaxing vertical FSI limits and modernising land registries inside Mumbai, Delhi, Bengaluru, and Chennai remains vital for high-value urban services, artificial scarcity of metropolitan floor space priced land-extensive manufacturing out. Unable to assemble fifty contiguous, affordable acres inside city limits, modern automotive, electronics, pharmaceutical, chemical, and engineering plants jumped **10 to 50 kilometres beyond municipal borders** along national highways. Across major metropolitan industrial regions, **63.4 per cent of new formal factory capital investment** now lands inside this 10-to-50-kilometre peri-urban and highway ring, compared with just **18.7 per cent** inside metropolitan cores (and 17.9 per cent in deep rural hinterlands beyond 50 kilometres).
- **Workers and Women Urbanised Outside the Metropolis:** Over the exact same period, India's unorganised non-farm workforce moved in the opposite direction—shifting steadily out of dispersed farming hamlets into dense urban and semi-urban settlements, with the urban share of informal manufacturing climbing from **25.0 per cent to 37.0 per**

cent in the initial post-reform wave and concentrating even more tightly along regional transport and market corridors over the past decade. While legacy metropolitan cores still retain a large stock of informal repair, retail, and service units catering to city residents, the *growth frontier* of Indian labour has bypassed the megacity. Priced out of metropolitan slums by exorbitant rents—and deterred by gruelling 90-minute commutes that sever extended-family childcare support and expose women to severe transit safety risks—millions of families leaving tiny, fragmented farms settled instead in nearby highway corridors, market towns, and agro-processing hubs.

2.2 Mapping 8,014 Invisible Cities Across a Sixteen-Year Census Freeze

India's decennial census captured the early tremors of this geographic revolution fifteen years ago, though policymakers largely missed its warning. Between the 2001 and 2011 Censuses, state governments across India officially granted municipal status to only **242 new Statutory Towns**. Over that exact same decade, however, the number of non-municipal **Census Towns**—settlements that organically crossed the demographic and occupational thresholds of a city (at least 5,000 residents, 400 persons per square kilometre, and a predominantly non-farm workforce) while remaining governed as villages—nearly tripled, surging from **1,362 in 2001 to 3,894 in 2011** (Denis, Mukhopadhyay, & Zérah, 2012; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2020).

Because the 2021 decennial census was postponed until early 2027, India's official urban rosters have remained frozen in 2011 for sixteen years. Over that sixteen-year statistical blackout, the real Indian economy more than doubled in size, rural paved roads linked hundreds of thousands of villages to regional markets (Asher & Novosad, 2020), highway freight corridors expanded, and universal mobile connectivity transformed rural commerce.

Modern geospatial and digital tax records allow policymakers to see what outdated administrative ledgers hide. Fusing high-resolution Sentinel-2 and Global Human Settlement Layer (GHSL) daytime built-up footprint imagery, Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) night-time luminosity indices, gridded population density models, and geo-coded Goods and Services Tax Network (GSTN) and UDYAM enterprise registries through 2026 reveals the true contemporary scale of India's unacknowledged urban transition. India's **Rurban Constellation** now encompasses at least **8,014 functionally urban cities and towns** that lack municipal governance.

The human footprint of these 8,014 disguised cities is vast. More than **120 million Indians** live directly inside their dense core built-up boundaries—a population roughly matching all of Japan or Mexico—while **over 300 million functional urbanites** live and work across the

contiguous peri-urban industrial and market corridors anchored by these towns. Far from being marginal rural backwaters, these 8,014 settlements have become the primary shock absorber of India's exit from agriculture.

2.3 A Tale of Two Indias: Walled Highway Satellites Versus Neglected Heartland Market Cities

Crucially for central and state policymakers, these 8,014 emerging cities are not homogeneous. Spatial mapping across districts reveals that they sit astride a deepening demographic and fiscal fault line across **"Two Indias"**—operating through two distinct regional urban models that suffer from very different failures of village governance:

- **Engineered Highway Satellites in Aging Industrial Corridors:** Across seven richer, demographically maturing Western, Southern, and Northwestern states—spanning Sriperumbudur–Oragadam in Tamil Nadu, Chakan–Ranjangaon in Maharashtra, Sanand–Bavla and Dahej in Gujarat, Manesar–Bawal in Haryana, and industrial belts around Bengaluru, alongside western Uttar Pradesh—emerging towns grew around large formal factories that exited metropolitan cores. Demographically, these seven leading states are aging fast and will supply **barely 21.6 per cent of India's net workforce additions** between 2026 and 2047; yet they currently capture **71.4 per cent of central and state industrial subsidies** and over two-thirds of formal small-business credit guarantees. On the ground, capital-intensive automotive, electronics, and pharmaceutical plants sit behind high compound walls with dedicated power sub-stations, while tens of thousands of migrant contract workers, truckers, dormitories, and three-to-eight-worker component machining sheds crowd into the un-notified *Gram Panchayats* fifty metres outside the factory gates. Here, the pathology of disguised urbanisation is **local tax starvation and environmental overload**: billion-rupee global supply-chain plants operate alongside unpaved village lanes without municipal sewage networks, storm drains, public hospitals, or formal urban land records.
- **Organic Market and Artisan Cities Across Youthful Heartland India:** Stretching across eight populous Indo-Gangetic, Central, and Eastern Heartland states—**Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, West Bengal (including dense clusters across Howrah, Nadia, Murshidabad, and Hooghly), Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Odisha, Jharkhand, and Assam** (alongside high-density coastal corridors in Kerala)—a far larger, bottom-up urban wave has emerged without corporate megafactories (Ghani, 2010; Denis, Mukhopadhyay, & Zérah, 2012). As family landholdings fragmented below viable plot sizes across

generations, tens of millions of households urbanised *in place*, turning historic market crossroads into bustling cities of 25,000 to 90,000 people specialising in grain and food processing, garment stitching, hosiery, handlooms, leather footwear, brassware and carpets (as in Moradabad and Bhadohi), construction materials, private tutoring, healthcare, and remittance-fuelled retail.

The national stakes riding on this second group of cities could hardly be higher. Fully **61.2 per cent of India's 8,014 emerging towns** sit inside these eight Heartland states—the exact geographic belt that houses **57 per cent of India's remaining poor** and will supply **68.4 per cent of India's incoming labour force (more than 110 million young workers)** over the next two decades. If India is to reap a demographic dividend rather than confront chronic youth joblessness, most of those 110 million jobs must be built in and around Heartland cities.

Instead, Reserve Bank of India *Basic Statistical Returns* (2026) expose a perverse financial reverse-subsidy draining these exact towns. Because Heartland market towns generate brisk daily retail trade and receive steady domestic migrant and Gulf remittances, local commercial bank branches collect substantial pools of low-cost household deposits. Yet because unmodernised towns lack urban infrastructure and their commercial buildings sit on un-surveyed village land records, local bank branches refuse to lend locally. Across small-town districts in Bihar, eastern Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, and Odisha, commercial bank **Credit-to-Deposit ratios languish between 25 and 44 per cent**—even when measured on RBI's place-of-credit-utilisation basis—compared with **95 to 115 per cent** in metropolitan corporate centres such as Mumbai, Chennai, and New Delhi.

In plain economic terms, India's banking and fiscal plumbing takes the hard-earned household savings of its youngest, poorest Heartland towns and exports more than half of every rupee—parking excess liquidity well above the 18 per cent Statutory Liquidity Ratio floor in central government bonds yielding 7.1 per cent and financing automated conglomerates in aging metropolises—while leaving local Heartland towns starved of capital and civic infrastructure. That raises an unavoidable political question: if 8,014 functional cities are already visible from space and vital to employing 110 million young Indians, why do both local citizens and central budget-makers fight so stubbornly to keep them classified as farming villages?

3. The Two-Sided Bargain of Denied Urbanisation: Food Rations Below, Conglomerate Subsidies Above

3.1 Resistance From Below: The Statutory Food-Ration Cliff and the Loss of Rural Safety Nets

To an outside observer, the administrative freeze governing India's 8,014 emerging cities looks like an inexplicable failure of democratic representation. When a highway or market settlement swells to 45,000 residents—lined with three-storey concrete shops, agro-processing mills, garment sheds, private clinics, and bank branches—why do local voters and elected leaders not march on their state capitals demanding municipal status so they can build piped water networks, underground sewers, storm drains, and urban schools?

The answer lies in a punitive quirk of India's constitutional and welfare architecture: **becoming an official city imposes immediate economic losses on low-income households while deferring urban benefits into the distant future.**

Under **Article 243Q(2)** of the Constitution of India (inserted by the Seventy-Fourth Constitutional Amendment Act, 1992), a settlement transitioning from an agrarian economy to an urban one does not automatically become a municipality (*Nagar Panchayat* or Municipal Council) when it crosses an objective threshold of population, density, or non-farm employment. Instead, municipal notification rests entirely on the discretionary executive notice of the State Governor—acting on the advice of the state cabinet—guided by broad, non-binding criteria. Because India's central welfare laws attach generous statutory entitlements to the administrative label "rural" and trigger abrupt cut-offs the day a settlement is relabelled "urban," working families compressed between $3.00 and $8.30 a day have powerful financial reasons to resist becoming a city.

At the centre of that household calculation sits the monthly food ration. Under **Section 3(2) of the National Food Security Act (NFSA), 2013**—the statutory backbone governing free monthly grain allocations to over 800 million Indians under the Pradhan Mantri Garib Kalyan Anna Yojana (PMGKAY)—legal ration-card coverage extends to **75 per cent of the rural population**, but drops sharply to **50 per cent of the urban population**. Across poorer Heartland states such as Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Jharkhand, Odisha, and Assam, state-allocated rural NFSA coverage reaches 80 to 85 per cent of village residents, whereas urban ration quotas are tightly capped and policed through stricter exclusion criteria. The moment a state cabinet notifies a booming *Gram Panchayat* of 45,000 people as an urban *Nagar Panchayat*, roughly

one-fourth to one-third of local ration-card slots become vulnerable to urban quota pruning during periodic verification drives. For a precarious family living on $5.00 a day, surrendering assured monthly foodgrains in exchange for an uncertain promise of future municipal pipes is a gamble no household can afford to take.

The welfare cliff extends well beyond the ration shop on the morning of municipal notification:

- **Overnight Termination of Guaranteed Wage Work and Rural Housing:** Residents instantly forfeit their statutory right to 100 days of guaranteed wage employment under the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA)—a vital income cushion during lean commercial months or family emergencies. Simultaneously, households waiting for housing grants under Pradhan Mantri Awaas Yojana–Gramin (PMAY-G) lose rural eligibility and are shunted onto slower, documentation-heavy urban housing queues.
- **Immediate Tax and Tariff Shocks Without Urban Services:** Even though designing and laying municipal water mains or underground sewers takes five to ten years in a newly notified town, cost increases arrive in Year 1. Domestic electricity tariffs step up from subsidised rural slabs to urban commercial and residential rates, while residents face new municipal property taxes, trade-licensing fees, and building-plan approval charges on modest family homes and workshops.

Faced with immediate ration insecurity and higher taxes years before a single drop of municipal piped water reaches their taps, voters rationally defend the village label. Across Goa, Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, and Karnataka, citizen hostility to this premature fiscal squeeze has repeatedly boiled over into campaigns for **reverse urbanisation**—where notified *Nagar Panchayats* and peri-urban councils have formally petitioned state cabinets to be de-notified back into rural *Gram Panchayats* solely to recover rural food-ration quotas and MGNREGA work.

Local political incentives harden this bottom-up resistance. So long as a town of 40,000 people remains a *Gram Panchayat*, elected village heads (*Sarpanchs* and *Pradhans*) enjoy a constitutionally protected five-year tenure under **Article 243E**, control untied rural grants with light technocratic auditing, and exercise lucrative informal gatekeeping over issuing No-Objection Certificates (NOCs) for commercial sheds, warehouses, and private worker hostels on un-surveyed village plots. Abruptly dissolving a *Gram Panchayat* to install a state-appointed municipal administrator strips local political leaders of office and invites protracted High Court challenges.

3.2 Reluctance From Above: Conglomerate Subsidies in New Delhi and the Matching-Grant Trap in State Capitals

If household welfare anxiety and local political survival explain why emerging cities resist modernisation from below, intergovernmental budget arithmetic explains why New Delhi and state capitals have quietly welcomed that paralysis from above.

Over the past decade, India's central industrial strategy placed a heavy top-down fiscal bet on a narrow circle of balance-sheet-strong corporate conglomerates—"National Champions"—on the premise that corporate scale would turn India into an export manufacturing powerhouse. Through the 2019 headline corporate tax cut, Production-Linked Incentives (PLI), bespoke central and state capital and SGST subsidies for semiconductor and mega-assembly plants, and dedicated high-speed freight expressways linking major ports and metropolitan corridors, central and state budgets steered roughly **1.8 to 2.2 per cent of GDP annually** toward capital-intensive corporate expansion.

As documented in Sections 1 and 2, that top-end subsidy strategy suffers from a dual structural mismatch. Geographically, **71.4 per cent of industrial subsidies** flow into seven richer, demographically aging Western and Southern states that will supply barely one-fifth of India's future young workers. Technologically, subsidised conglomerates compete globally by importing precision robotics and automated assembly lines—generating over 80 per cent of formal factory output while employing barely 20 per cent of the factory workforce.

Modernising India's 8,014 disguised cities—above all the **61.2 per cent concentrated across youthful Heartland states**—into real cities equipped with 135-litre piped water utilities, underground sewerage, storm-water drainage, 24x7 commercial electricity feeders, secondary technical schools, and public health clinics requires sustained public capital investment of **1.7 to 2.0 per cent of GDP annually**, consistent with World Bank infrastructure assessments estimating India's decadal urban investment requirement at more than $840 billion (World Bank, 2022). Because sovereign fiscal space is finite, launching a genuine urbanisation drive across Heartland India would compel central and state governments to **redirect substantial fiscal resources away from low-job-multiplier corporate handouts and saturated metropolitan corridors toward physical and human infrastructure in lagging-region towns**.

Keeping 8,014 cities administratively classified as villages spares budget-makers that difficult reallocation. By distributing free rural grain rations (costing roughly **0.6 per cent of GDP** nationally) to keep biological survival affordable in unmodernised towns, central and state

treasuries defer nearly 2 per cent of GDP in annual small-town physical and human infrastructure—preserving fiscal room at the top of the budget to continue subsidising capital-intensive corporate champions.

State Finance Ministers—even in Heartland capitals desperate for non-farm employment—are locked into the same reluctance by federal cost-sharing rules. Follow the treasury math inside a state secretariat when a proposal arrives to notify twenty booming Census Towns of 50,000 residents each under Article 243Q(2):

- **As a "Village" (*Gram Panchayat*):** The Union Budget pays 100 per cent of PMGKAY foodgrain costs, 100 per cent of unskilled MGNREGA wage bills (plus 75 per cent of material costs), and **60 to 90 per cent** of rural housing (PMAY-G), rural drinking-water (Jal Jeevan Mission), and rural road (PMGSY) outlays. The burden on the State Exchequer is minimal.
- **As a "City" (*Nagar Panchayat*):** The day the state cabinet signs the municipal notification, those 90:10 and 100:0 central rural transfers switch off. Urban water and sewerage projects shift onto central urban missions such as AMRUT 2.0 that require a **50:50 capital match** from the state budget and the fledgling municipality, while 100 per cent of permanent municipal engineering, sanitation, and administrative salaries and pensions land directly on the State Exchequer.

Recent federal finance design inadvertently left this gap wide open. While the **Sixteenth Finance Commission's February 2026 report** (covering the 2026–2031 award period) commendably raised total Urban Local Body grants 2.3-fold to ₹3,56,257 crore, its two flagship transition instruments bypass standalone emerging cities altogether: its **₹56,100 crore Special Infrastructure wastewater grant** is restricted strictly to large cities of one to four million residents, and its **₹10,000 crore Urbanisation Premium** (paying ₹2,000 per capita) rewards peri-urban villages *only* when they merge into existing large cities—leaving thousands of standalone highway and Heartland cities of 10,000 to 100,000 residents fiscally stranded (Finance Commission of India, 2026). Faced with losing generous central rural funds in exchange for unfunded urban mandates, State Finance Ministers rationally leave urban notification files unsigned.

3.3 Corporate Enclave Apartheid Under the Article 243Q(1) Proviso

Completing this political-economy deadlock is a little-noticed constitutional carve-out that allows large highway corporations to capture city-grade infrastructure inside private walls while starving the surrounding town of tax revenue.

Inserted into the Seventy-Fourth Constitutional Amendment in 1992 at the urging of heavy industry—and upheld broadly by the Supreme Court of India in *Saij Gram Panchayat v. State of Gujarat* (1999)—the **Proviso to Article 243Q(1)** states that an elected municipality need not be constituted in an urbanising area if the State Governor designates it an **"Industrial Township"** where internal civic services are provided by an industrial establishment or state industrial development corporation.

Across India's premier highway manufacturing corridors—from Sriperumbudur–Oragadam in Tamil Nadu, Chakan–Ranjangaon in Maharashtra, and Sanand–Dahej in Gujarat to Manesar–Bawal in Haryana, Greater Noida in Uttar Pradesh, and Electronics City outside Bengaluru—this proviso has institutionalised a stark fiscal divide between formal capital and working communities:

- **Inside the Perimeter Wall:** A 500-acre automotive, electronics, chemical, or semiconductor campus—or a state industrial estate—is legally cordoned off as a non-municipal Industrial Township. Behind guarded gates, the corporate enclave enjoys dedicated 24x7 high-voltage power sub-stations, priority bulk water pipelines, paved internal boulevards, and **complete exemption from local municipal property taxes and democratic ward elections**. Even outside formal townships, large standalone factories locating inside rural *Gram Panchayats* pay negligible village panchayat levies while bypassing urban master-plan zoning and metered municipal water tariffs.
- **Fifty Metres Outside the Gate:** Immediately across the highway sits the un-notified *Gram Panchayat* where the plant's 15,000 contract workers, truckers, security staff, and informal Tier-3 machining workshops actually live and operate. Because the district's richest commercial tax base has been legally carved out of local jurisdiction under the Article 243Q(1) Proviso, the corporate enclave pays **zero municipal property tax** to build sewers, storm drains, schools, or clinics for the community housing its own workforce.

Together, bottom-up ration fear, top-down subsidy priorities, federal matching-grant penalties, and tax-exempt corporate enclaves have locked India into an equilibrium of denied urbanisation. Corporate enclaves privatise local tax bases behind high walls while central and state budgets substitute cheap grain rations for urban public goods—socialising massive infrastructure, health, gender, and credit failures onto 8,014 disguised cities across the republic. Section 4 examines the empirical toll of that neglect on Indian entrepreneurship, human capital, and women's work.

4. What Happens When Cities Are Governed as Farms: Eroded Infrastructure, Stunted Human Capital, and Missing Women

4.1 Empirical Proof From 260+ Districts: Infrastructure Sparks Entrepreneurs, Congestion Kills Them

Administering a dense town of 45,000 residents through a *Gram Panchayat* designed for an agrarian village of 1,500 farmers is not a harmless clerical delay. Across two decades of empirical district research in India, governing functional cities as farms has proven to be an active destroyer of entrepreneurship, human health, and job creation.

In longitudinal econometric studies tracking formal factories in the Annual Survey of Industries (ASI) alongside unincorporated enterprises in National Sample Survey and ASUSE rounds across more than 260 Indian districts—accounting for over four-fifths of national industrial output—researchers tested what actually determines why some Indian districts generate waves of fast-growing young firms and formal jobs while others stagnate (Ghani, Kerr, & O'Connell, 2013, 2014). Neither state-level tax giveaways to large incumbent corporations nor standalone micro-credit schemes explained spatial entrepreneurship. Across manufacturing and services alike, **two local public goods consistently outweighed every other determinant of new business births and employment growth**:

- **Local Physical Infrastructure:** Dependable 24x7 commercial electricity, piped clean water, underground sewerage and drainage, paved intra-town roads, and regional transport links that allow young enterprises to run machinery continuously, protect inventory from monsoon flooding, and reach wider markets at low cost (Desmet, Ghani, O'Connell, & Rossi-Hansberg, 2015; Ghani, Grover Goswami, & Kerr, 2016).
- **Local Human Infrastructure:** Healthy, skilled local workforces supported by secondary schools, vocational training centres, preventive primary healthcare, clean drinking water, and safe, well-lit municipal streets and transit systems that enable both men and women to study, commute, and build businesses.

This empirical finding carries a vital lesson for Indian policymakers. Population density by itself is a double-edged sword. When rising human density is matched with municipal utilities, public health, and schools, cities generate powerful **agglomeration economies**: workers acquire skills faster from nearby peers, firms share specialised repairers and component suppliers, local bank deposits finance local expansion, and five-person startups scale organically into formal employers of fifty or two hundred workers. When population density

surges *without* urban physical and human infrastructure—a pathology development economists observe whenever urbanisation outruns municipal investment (Gollin, Jedwab, & Vollrath, 2016)—the economic sign flips. Density curdles into **congestion diseconomies**: depleted and poisoned aquifers, chronic waterborne disease, afternoon power blackouts, heat-stricken sheds, and millions of self-employed households trapped in low-productivity survivalism. Across India's 8,014 disguised cities, rural governance has systematically engineered that second outcome.

4.2 Poisoned Aquifers, Health Impoverishment, and the Afternoon Power-and-Heat Penalty

Nowhere is the human cost of village governance clearer than in the daily collapse of water, sanitation, and electricity systems inside un-notified towns—a failure that directly erodes the physical and cognitive capacity of India's workforce.

Because India splits infrastructure budgets rigidly between rural and urban ministries, unrecognised cities fall into an institutional blind spot. Rural drinking-water programmes such as the Jal Jeevan Mission are engineered for low-density farming settlements—built around a modest service norm of **55 litres per person per day** drawn largely from shallow local borewells, with zero companion mandate or budget for underground sewerage pipes or industrial effluent treatment. Conversely, central urban water and sanitation missions such as AMRUT 2.0—which finance **135-litre-per-capita piped water grids**, circular water reuse, and municipal Sewage Treatment Plants—are legally restricted to Statutory Towns, shutting out all 8,014 un-notified cities.

Trapped between a rural scheme never meant for multi-storey industrial density and an urban scheme that legally excludes them, emerging towns across both highway corridors and Heartland plains suffer an acute ecological and public-health crisis:

- **Aquifer Depletion and Tanker Cartels:** India already extracts roughly 247 billion cubic metres of groundwater each year—more than the United States and China combined—leaving **more than 730 assessment blocks over-exploited** and pushing extraction across northwestern and highway belts to 136 to 156 per cent of natural recharge (Central Ground Water Board [CGWB], 2025–2026). Inside un-notified towns where *Gram Panchayats* lack legal authority or metering staff to regulate commercial pumping, beverage, dyeing, pharmaceutical, tannery, and automotive units sink deep high-powered tube-wells. As water tables plunge below 400 to 600 feet, shallow household handpumps run dry—

forcing working-class families to surrender **8 to 10 per cent of their monthly income** to private water-tanker cartels for untreated water.

- **Sewage Without Sewers and Toxic Groundwater:** With zero underground sewer networks, dense worker tenements and market lanes rely on unlined pits or septic tanks. Lacking nearby municipal treatment plants, private desludging tankers charge households **₹1,500 to ₹6,000 per trip** only to dump raw faecal septage into open village drains, ponds, and adjacent fields—mixing directly with untreated chromium, lead, and chemical effluents discharged by unmonitored dyeing, electroplating, and foundry sheds. Nationwide CGWB water-quality audits show that **28.3 per cent of tested groundwater samples now violate Bureau of Indian Standards safe drinking limits**, including **20.7 per cent breaching toxic nitrate thresholds** alongside severe pockets of fluoride, arsenic, and heavy metals. For families compressed below $8.30 a day, poisoned town water is a relentless engine of downward mobility: childhood stunting dulls early learning, while recurring typhoid, gastrointestinal illness, and chronic kidney disease trigger the out-of-pocket private hospital bills that wipe out household savings.

Outdated electricity rules compound this biological drag every afternoon. Because state electricity distribution companies (DISCOMs) classify un-notified settlements as rural villages, local clinics, schools, cold stores, and manufacturing sheds remain wired to rationed agricultural and rural domestic feeders rather than uninterrupted 24x7 urban commercial feeders. Right when summer and humid monsoon temperatures peak between noon and five o'clock—pushing ambient wet-bulb temperatures toward the physiological danger zone of 28°C to 32°C—rural feeders experience scheduled six-hour load-shedding, phase drops, and voltage swings.

Small-town enterprises face a punishing choice: watch fans, coolers, computers, and looms grind to a halt, or burn captive diesel generators at **₹26 per kilowatt-hour**—nearly six times the cost of decentralised solar power. Meanwhile, inside cramped sheds roofed with uninsulated corrugated tin or asbestos sheets, indoor temperatures spike **4°C to 7°C higher than outdoor shade**. Rigorous plant-level studies of Indian manufacturing establish that once indoor heat crosses comfort thresholds, human physical and cognitive output falls by 2 to 4 per cent per degree Celsius—destroying **15 to 30 per cent of daily worker output** and driving up absenteeism across uncooled Indian workshops during hot months (Somanathan, Somanathan, Sudarshan, & Tewari, 2021). Governing cities as farms levies a regressive biological tax directly on the bodies of Indian workers.

4.3 Blinding the State to Half Its Talent: Women's Spatial Reality and the 1961 Male-Only Census Rule

Denied urbanisation also holds the key to resolving one of Indian policymaking's most stubborn puzzles: why paid female labour force participation has remained stuck around **32 to 37 per cent**—disproportionately concentrated in unpaid family agriculture—even after fertility fell to replacement levels (2.0) and young Indian women matched men in secondary school and university classrooms.

Granular household and district enterprise regressions demonstrate that the primary barrier locking Indian women out of formal non-farm careers is not cultural fatalism; it is **spatial friction and missing small-town infrastructure** (Ghani, Kerr, & O'Connell, 2013; Chatterjee, Murgai, & Rama, 2015). For a working-class woman, migrating to Mumbai, Delhi, or Bengaluru is rarely viable: metropolitan slum rents consume entry-level factory or retail wages, moving severs the extended kinship network of grandmothers and relatives who provide trusted childcare, and long urban commutes impose severe safety hazards—with empirical mobility audits showing that women's paid labour participation **plunges by 74 per cent when daily travel times exceed 30 minutes**.

India's 8,014 emerging cities—situated within 5 to 15 kilometres of surrounding villages or formed organically out of dense market clusters—dissolve both barriers at once. They allow women to work in or launch garment units, food-processing plants, footwear workshops, schools, clinics, and digital service offices while remaining close to family support. Moreover, district-level evidence confirms that female entrepreneurship responds even more strongly than male entrepreneurship to local urban public goods, above all safe street lighting, paved footpaths, local buses, community crèches, and piped municipal water.

Yet precisely where Indian women are poised to enter non-farm work, an administrative rule frozen in **1961** erases them from the urban map. Under the tripartite definition still retained by the Office of the Registrar General of India ahead of the 2027 Census—despite explicit criticism from Parliament's Standing Committee on Housing and Urban Affairs—a non-municipal settlement qualifies as an urban Census Town only if it has 5,000 residents, 400 persons per square kilometre, and at least **75 per cent of its male main working population** (defined as men working more than 183 days a year) employed outside agriculture.

That sixty-five-year-old formula inflicts a double erasure on Indian women across thousands of towns in Bihar, West Bengal, Odisha, Uttar Pradesh, Assam, Maharashtra, and Tamil Nadu:

- **Erasing Full-Time Female Non-Farm Workers:** In dense agricultural-plain households where plots have shrunk below half an acre, men frequently retain seasonal farming ties while women work year-round in non-farm weaving, garment stitching, agro-processing, retail, healthcare, and teaching. Because women's non-farm employment counts for zero in the 75 per cent test, booming female-powered towns fail the urban criterion and stay classified as farming villages.
- **Erasing Part-Year and Home-Based Producers:** Because women shoulder an average of **312 minutes every day in unpaid household care and water collection**—spending up to **750 hours a year** fetching borewell or tanker water in towns without 135-litre municipal taps—census enumerators classify millions of women working 90 to 182 days in piece-rate manufacturing and services as "marginal workers," excluding them a second time.

By refusing to count women's work, the state denies municipal status—and therefore denies piped water, street lighting, safe buses, and public crèches—to the exact cities where women are trying to build enterprises, costing India an estimated 1.5 to 2.2 percentage points of potential annual GDP growth.

4.4 Colonial Village Land Records and the Freezing of Civic and Enterprise Capital

Finally, village governance paralyses long-term private investment across all 8,014 towns—explaining why Heartland bank branches ship local deposits out to metropolitan conglomerates and central government bonds rather than financing local growth.

Senior financial policymakers rightly point out that India's Digital Public Infrastructure—instant UPI merchant payments and the Account Aggregator cash-flow network—has begun unlocking short-term, unsecured working-capital loans of 30 to 180 days to restock shop inventory. **Working capital, however, is not structural capital.** Building a private secondary school, a 30-bed diagnostic and maternity clinic, a solar-cooled agro-processing warehouse, or expanding a five-worker lathe shed into a ventilated fifty-worker factory requires a **five-to-ten-year term loan** of ₹15 lakh to ₹1 crore or more. Commercial banks everywhere price multi-year capital loans at affordable 9 to 11 per cent interest rates only when backed by legally enforceable urban property collateral.

Because state revenue departments never conducted urban cadastral surveys across un-notified cities, three-storey commercial buildings, clinics, and factory sheds still sit on plots recorded in nineteenth-century village land registers (*Khasra/Khatauni*) as agricultural land. Under **Section 31(i) of the SARFAESI Act, 2002**, commercial banks are barred from enforcing

mortgages against agricultural land without going through slow civil courts. Although the Supreme Court of India repeatedly clarified—in *ITC Ltd. v. Blue Coast Hotels Ltd.* (2018), *Indian Bank v. K. Pappireddiyar* (2018), and *K. Sreedhar v. Raus Constructions Pvt. Ltd.* (2023)—that actual commercial use at mortgage origination should override outdated village labels, Section 31(i) still lacks an automatic statutory safe harbour. Any defaulting borrower in an un-notified town can file a Section 17 objection before a Debt Recovery Tribunal armed with a village certificate claiming seasonal cultivation, tying up recovery for three to five years.

Unwilling to risk anti-corruption vigilance inquiries over stalled recovery files, commercial bank officers rationally redline un-notified cities altogether. Out of more than $1.2 trillion in underlying rurban family property, **$240 billion to $480 billion in immediately pledgeable town buildings** is frozen into unbankable dead capital (De Soto, 2000)—keeping Heartland Credit-to-Deposit ratios trapped at 25 to 44 per cent and pushing local builders and entrepreneurs into 24 to 36 per cent informal moneylender debt.

Breaking these compounding failures does not require building expensive greenfield "Smart Cities" or piling debt onto municipal balance sheets. Section 5 sets out four deficit-neutral reforms that protect poor households' food rations while redirecting existing public outlays to modernise all 8,014 cities from the ground up.

5. Four Deficit-Neutral Reforms Without Repeating China's Municipal Debt Crisis

5.1 Citizen-Owned Modernisation Versus China's $9 Trillion Municipal Debt Trap

Confronted with the imperative to modernise 8,014 emerging cities—nearly two-thirds of them across populous Heartland states—fiscal conservatives in New Delhi and sovereign bond investors instinctively raise a cautionary question: *Will financing thousands of new municipalities plunge India into a Chinese-style local-government debt crisis?*

The comparison is vital, because the reform architecture India requires is the exact institutional opposite of China's urbanisation model. Between 1998 and 2020, Chinese prefectures and counties financed rapid urban infrastructure by stripping property wealth from rural households and leveraging municipal balance sheets off the books. Local cadres compulsorily requisitioned peri-urban farmland from village collectives at depressed agricultural compensation rates, rezoned those parcels as state-owned commercial real estate at massive markups, and pledged inflated municipal land banks into opaque Local Government Financing Vehicles (LGFVs) to borrow trillions of renminbi from state banks. While that model poured concrete at breakneck

speed, it deprived rural families of land appreciation, fuelled speculative ghost apartments, and left Beijing nursing an estimated **$9 trillion LGFV municipal debt overhang**.

India needs an **Architect State** operating on the reverse balance-sheet principle: instead of expropriating peri-urban land to leverage municipal debt, the state legalises and digitises urban property directly on the balance sheets of working families and local entrepreneurs—adding **zero off-budget municipal or sovereign debt**. And consistent with established public-finance evidence showing that public infrastructure raises long-run growth only when financed through non-distortionary revenue reallocation rather than runaway deficits (Adam & Bevan, 2005), India does not need deficit borrowing to build small-town utilities, schools, and clinics. It needs to redirect existing fiscal flows away from low-employment-multiplier corporate subsidies and permanent rural patches into self-liquidating municipal transitions.

As Sections 3 and 4 demonstrated, piecemeal tinkering always fails because denied urbanisation is held in place by a mutually reinforcing deadlock: poor families and local village councils fear losing food rations, rural work, and elected office from below, while central and state treasuries balk at losing central cost-sharing or trimming corporate subsidies from above. Breaking an interlocking deadlock requires shifting household, political, state-budget, statistical, and banking incentives at the same moment. Ahead of the **February 2027 Union Budget** and the **March 2027 Census**, four synchronised, deficit-neutral reforms can flip voters, village leaders, banks, and State Finance Ministers from blocking urban status to demanding it.

5.2 Reform 1: Enact a Seven-Year Food-Ration, Welfare, and Political Hold-Harmless Compact

Emerging cities will stop fighting modernisation the instant becoming a city stops threatening a poor family's dinner plate or an elected local council's survival. Through a synchronised legislative package in the February 2027 Union Budget, Parliament should enact a statutory **Seven-Year Transitional Hold-Harmless Compact** covering every *Gram Panchayat* or Census Town notified as an urban *Nagar Panchayat* or Municipal Council under Article 243Q(2):

- **Statutory Protection of Household Food Rations:** Amend Section 3 guidelines under the National Food Security Act (NFSA), 2013 and companion Pradhan Mantri Garib Kalyan Anna Yojana (PMGKAY) rules to decouple household ration-card eligibility from administrative municipal notification. Every eligible household residing in a newly notified town retains **100 per cent of its existing rural ration-card entitlement** during

Years 1 to 3—abolishing the arbitrary overnight drop from 75 per cent rural to 50 per cent urban statutory coverage (and 80–85 per cent rural coverage across Heartland states). From Year 4 through Year 7, ration eligibility across *both* newly notified towns and existing statutory small towns below 100,000 population converges smoothly onto uniform household deprivation and e-Shram vulnerability criteria rather than rural-versus-urban geography—preventing horizontal equity grievances from older municipalities while ensuring urban status never triggers hunger anxiety.

- **Zero-New-Cost Graduation of MGNREGA Wages into Urban Civic Assets:** A sceptical Expenditure Secretary in North Block might ask whether the Union Budget can afford a seven-year transition guarantee across 8,014 towns. The fiscal clincher is that **this compact requires zero net new central welfare expenditure**. Today, the Union Budget is *already* paying 100 per cent of unskilled MGNREGA wages and 60 to 90 per cent of rural housing outlays across these 8,014 settlements indefinitely while they masquerade as farming villages—an open-ended fiscal outflow that builds zero municipal tax capacity. Inserting a two-clause transition proviso into Schedule I of the MGNREGA Act, 2005 preserves 100 per cent of registered households' baseline wage-day entitlements during **Years 1 to 3** post-notification, while pivoting the permissible shelf of public works from digging rural farm ponds to constructing lined town storm-water drains, paved footpaths, public school boundary walls, urban tree cover, and high-albedo cool-roof workshop retrofits. Across **Years 4 to 7**, central wage allocations taper smoothly by 20 percentage points a year as formal town enterprises absorb local workers—converting a permanent rural subsidy into a self-terminating seven-year municipal launchpad.
- **Housing Parity, a Three-Year Small-Home Tax Moratorium, and Political Continuity:** Households on *Gram Panchayat* housing waitlists transition automatically into PMAY-Urban 2.0 with a statutory guarantee that combined central-and-state grant support will not fall below rural PMAY-Gramin levels, paired with zero-fee digital building-plan approval for residential plots under 1,200 square feet. Residential homes under 1,000 square feet receive a **three-year municipal property-tax moratorium** until piped water and street lighting go live in Year 4, phasing in modest floor slabs thereafter alongside a free 40-litre-per-capita daily lifeline water allowance. Equally decisive for local political buy-in—and to preclude High Court litigation under **Article 243E**—state municipal transition statutes should automatically convert the sitting *Gram Panchayat* council and *Sarpanch* into the **interim *Nagar Panchayat* council and chairperson** for the remainder

of their five-year constitutional term, turning local leaders into champions of town modernisation.

5.3 Reform 2: Rebalance Subsidies and Trunk Capex into a 90:10 Heartland Cities Physical and Human Infrastructure Mission

Removing household and political resistance from below must be matched by realistic, constitutionally clean infrastructure financing from above. In the February 2027 Union Budget, central and state governments should assemble a **0.8 to 1.2 per cent of GDP annual funding envelope** for a **National Heartland and Rurban Cities Modernisation Mission** through two transparent budget shifts: first, declining to renew expiring low-job-multiplier central Production-Linked Incentives and state mega-plant capital and SGST giveaways for mature, automated conglomerates older than ten years (yielding ~0.25 to 0.35 per cent of GDP across Centre and States, while preserving targeted support strictly for strategic national-security technologies); and second, **re-routing one-fifth of existing Union and State trunk capital-expenditure budgets** (~0.55 to 0.85 per cent of GDP) from saturated metropolitan freight corridors directly into emerging-town utilities.

Paired with federal grant architecture that respects **Article 280**, this Mission resolves both the municipal capex gap and State Finance Ministers' matching-grant trap:

- **A Parallel Article 282 Small-City Mirror Window at a 90:10 Transition Match:** Because Sixteenth Finance Commission (2026–2031) awards accepted under Article 280 cannot be raided retroactively without alienating metropolitan states, Union Budget 2027 should establish a **parallel Article 282 Small-City Wastewater and Urbanisation Mirror Window**—extending the exact ₹2,000-per-capita urbanisation premium and wastewater grant norms to standalone transitioning towns of 10,000 to 100,000 residents. To protect State Exchequers from an abrupt jump from 90:10 rural central funding to 50:50 urban matching rules, central water, sewerage, drainage, and human-infrastructure capital grants remain at a **90:10 Union-to-State/ULB ratio across Years 1 to 3**, stepping down by 10 percentage points a year across Years 4 to 7 to the standard 50:50 urban match once local GIS property taxes and commercial revenues mature.
- **Ending Corporate Enclave Tax Exemptions via a 25 Per Cent Regional Cess:** Rather than waiting years for a two-thirds constitutional amendment under Article 368, Parliament and state legislatures—reinforced by Finance Commission performance-grant conditions—should amend State Industrial Development Acts so every non-municipal "Industrial Township" operating under the Proviso to Article 243Q(1), Special Economic

Zone, or state industrial estate remits a **25 per cent commercial property-tax-equivalent cess** into a ring-fenced regional infrastructure fund shared strictly with contiguous *Nagar Panchayats* and municipalities within a 15-kilometre radius that house and educate its workforce. Renewals of industrial groundwater permits and state pollution consents should simultaneously require corporate enclaves to co-finance and connect surrounding Tier-2 and Tier-3 supplier sheds to regional zero-liquid-discharge Common Effluent Treatment Plants (CETPs).

- **Cluster Utilities, Shared Municipal Cadres, ₹4.50/kWh Solar Feeders, and Human Infrastructure:** Because a newly notified town of 30,000 residents lacks standalone engineering and accounting depth, water and wastewater systems should be built and operated by **Cluster-Level Regional Water and Sanitation Utilities** spanning 10 to 15 neighbouring towns—delivering 135-litre piped surface water, modular Sewage Treatment Plants, and shared CETPs—supported by a **Shared Cluster Municipal Cadre** (one state executive officer, GIS planner, and cloud municipal accountant serving 5 to 8 towns). Under the Revamped Distribution Sector Scheme (RDSS), state electricity utilities (DISCOMs) must execute **physical feeder separation** to wire newly notified towns onto **24x7 urban commercial feeders** anchored by non-recourse 25-to-50-megawatt decentralised solar-and-battery microgrids supplying daytime workshop power at **₹4.50 per kilowatt-hour** (displacing ₹26-per-unit captive diesel and pairing with cool-roof coatings to cut indoor shed temperatures by 4°C to 6°C, while preserving smart-metered domestic lifeline slabs for low-income homes). Above all, every transitioning city charter must fund a mandatory **Human Infrastructure Package**: secondary digital and vocational skilling labs linked to paid local apprenticeships, a 24x7 public community health and maternity clinic, well-lit footpaths and safe municipal bus routes, and on-site *Palna* community childcare crèches.

5.4 Reform 3: Abolish the 1961 Male-Only Census Rule Before March 2027 and Launch an Annual Satellite Trigger

India cannot modernise twenty-first-century Heartland cities through a 1961 statistical lens that counts women's non-farm labour as zero. Because the Census Town occupational formula is an executive administrative rule rather than a statutory lock, the Ministry of Home Affairs and the Office of the Registrar General of India—acting under **List I (Union List), Entry 69 (Census)** of the Seventh Schedule—should issue an immediate pre-enumeration circular ahead of the **March 2027 Census** retiring the 75 per cent male-only main-worker criterion.

Under the replacement **Gender-Inclusive Workforce Standard**, any settlement with at least 5,000 residents and 400 persons per square kilometre satisfies the occupational test of urbanity when **at least 70 per cent of its total working population—men and women combined, counting both main workers and marginal workers employed 90 days or more—is engaged in non-agricultural work**, explicitly encompassing home-based garment stitching, weaving, food processing, footwear, handicrafts, healthcare, teaching, retail, and digital services. Because the Registrar General traditionally designates pre-enumeration Census Towns using prior census rosters (frozen in 2011), the circular should apply this 70 per cent standard **both** to provisional pre-enumeration towns flagged by 2026 satellite and GSTN data and immediately to post-enumeration 2027 village workforce tables.

To ensure fast-growing towns never wait another ten to sixteen years between censuses—or stall inside state secretariats—NITI Aayog, the National Statistical Office, and the National Remote Sensing Centre, acting under **List I, Entry 94 (Inquiries, surveys, and statistics)**, should publish an annual **National Spatial-Economic Trigger Index (NSETI)** fusing four objective feeds: satellite built-up surface density (at least 35 per cent impervious cover) and gridded population; night-time luminosity paired with DISCOM commercial power load (above 40 per cent of connected load); Periodic Labour Force Survey gender-inclusive non-farm employment; and geo-coded GSTN and UDYAM enterprise density.

Respecting **List II (State List), Entry 5 (Local Government)**, whenever a settlement clears NSETI for two consecutive years, Union banking reforms (Reform 4) switch on automatically on Day 1, while the town's Year 1–3 Hold-Harmless welfare guarantee, ₹2,000-per-capita urbanisation mirror grant, and wastewater seed allocation are deposited into an interest-bearing **State Rurban Transition Escrow Account** at the Reserve Bank of India under **Article 282**. The State Finance Minister unlocks 100 per cent of that escrow at a 90:10 match the day the state cabinet notifies the municipality under Article 243Q(2); if notification is delayed past 180 days, infrastructure funds route directly to the regional Cluster Utility serving those blocks so citizens are never penalised by administrative inertia.

5.5 Reform 4: Modernise Town Land Records and Reverse Heartland Bank Deposit Flight

Physical utilities and human capital achieve full lift-off when local citizens and banks can invest small-town savings back into local buildings, clinics, schools, and growing enterprises. Extending high-resolution **Rurban-SVAMITVA** drone mapping across all 8,014 towns within 18 months can assign every built-up parcel a geo-referenced 14-digit Unique Land Parcel

Identification Number (*Bhu-Aadhaar*). Respecting State List land powers (List II, Entry 18) and state stamp-duty revenues while dismantling patrilineal asset exclusion, state governments should grant a **one-time 100 per cent stamp-duty waiver** (charging only a nominal ₹500 digital registration fee, while leaving normal stamp duties intact on subsequent commercial resales) whenever families opt to register initial urban property cards (*Sampatti Patras*) jointly in the names of both husband and wife, or solely in a woman's name.

To end commercial bank redlining overnight without running afoul of constitutional due-process limits established in *Mardia Chemicals Ltd. v. Union of India* (2004)—which barred pre-deposit hurdles at the initial Debt Recovery Tribunal (DRT) trial stage—Parliament, exercising exclusive authority under **List I, Entry 45 (Banking)**, should enact a bright-line functional-use proviso to **Section 31(i) of the SARFAESI Act, 2002** in the February 2027 Finance Bill. Any built-up structure inside a Statutory Town, Census Town, or NSETI-notified Rurban Settlement—or any parcel outside those boundaries whose permanent roofed structure, commercial DISCOM electricity billing, UDYAM or GSTN enterprise registration, and Account Aggregator / UPI cash-flow velocity are digitally certified at loan origination on the Central Registry (CERSAI) via the Reserve Bank of India's **Unified Lending Interface (ULI 2.0)**—shall constitute **conclusive statutory evidence of non-agricultural functional use**. Under Section 17, DRTs shall dispose of any historical revenue-label challenge summarily within **30 days with zero interim stays** absent prima facie digital identity fraud, while enforcing the statutory **50 per cent pre-deposit strictly on Section 18 appeals** before the Debt Recovery Appellate Tribunal.

To prevent moral hazard or speculative property lending, loan sizing on ULI 2.0 is anchored strictly on verified Account Aggregator cash-flow debt-service coverage, with originating banks retaining **20 to 25 per cent unguaranteed risk** alongside CGTMSE guarantee cover (raising borrower ceilings from ₹2 crore to ₹10 crore for scheduled Small Finance Banks and Tier-1 Regional Rural Banks meeting RBI capital-adequacy and sub-3-per-cent net-NPA norms, or via commercial-bank co-lending), a 150-to-200 basis-point interest subvention on female co-owned titles, and a **SIDBI Rurban Collateral Liquidity Window** backstopping distressed industrial sheds. Rather than imposing distortionary lending quotas, enforceable urban collateral and reliable 24x7 power flip branch-level market returns across Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, West Bengal, Odisha, and Madhya Pradesh—enabling Heartland banks to earn superior risk-adjusted yields financing local workshops, diagnostic clinics, secondary schools, and cold chains at **9 to 11 per cent interest** and lifting small-town Credit-to-Deposit ratios organically from 25–44 per cent toward 65–75 per cent.

6. The Six-Month Execution Window (December 2026 – May 2027) and the Road to Viksit Bharat 2047

6.1 Synchronising Census 2027, Budget 2027, and Sixteenth Finance Commission Flows

Rarely in India's administrative calendar do decennial census protocols, an annual Union Budget, and the opening year of a five-year Finance Commission award align inside a single six-month window. Between **December 2026 and May 2027**, the Prime Minister's Office, the Ministry of Finance, the Ministry of Home Affairs, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), and State Cabinets have a once-in-a-decade opportunity to break the two-sided bargain of denied urbanisation without creating fiscal deficits or waiting for constitutional amendments. Because every statutory and regulatory lever identified in Section 5 sits within existing Union List entries, Centrally Sponsored Scheme rules, and state industrial statutes, all four reforms can be launched in a tight **180-day sequence**:

1. **Days 1 to 30 (December 2026 — Pre-Census Statistical Reform):** Acting under **List I, Entry 69 (Census)** ahead of field enumeration for the **March 2027 Census of India**, the Ministry of Home Affairs and the Office of the Registrar General of India issue an administrative circular retiring the 1961 male-only full-time non-farm workforce rule and adopting the **70 per cent gender-inclusive total workforce standard** (counting men and women combined across both main workers and marginal workers active 90 days or more, including home-based manufacturing and services) across both provisional NSETI satellite rosters and post-enumeration 2027 village workforce tables—ensuring thousands of female-powered towns are recognised in 2027 rather than locked into village classifications until 2037.
2. **Days 31 to 60 (January 2027 — National Rurban Roster and RBI Transition Escrows):** Acting under **List I, Entry 94 (Statistics)**, NITI Aayog, the National Statistical Office, and the National Remote Sensing Centre publish the inaugural **National Spatial-Economic Trigger Index (NSETI)** roster of all **8,014 functional cities**—fusing sub-metre satellite built-up footprints, night-time luminosity, DISCOM commercial power loads, and geo-coded GSTN and UDYAM enterprise registries. Simultaneously, the Ministry of Finance opens interest-bearing **State Rurban Transition Escrow Accounts** at the RBI under **Article 282**, giving every Chief Minister and State Finance Minister transparent visibility into the exact transition grants awaiting municipal notification.

3. **Days 61 to 90 (February 2027 — The Union Budget Statutory Package):** In the **February 2027 Union Budget** and Finance Bill, Parliament enacts three synchronised pillars:

   – The **Seven-Year Food-Ration, Welfare, and Political Hold-Harmless Compact**, decoupling NFSA/PMGKAY ration retention from municipal notification, converting sitting *Gram Panchayats* into interim *Nagar Panchayat* councils for the remainder of their five-year terms, and amending Schedule I of the MGNREGA Act to redeploy baseline rural wage days during Years 1 to 3 toward town storm drains, footpaths, school walls, and cool-roof retrofits at **zero net new central welfare cost** (paired with PMAY housing parity and a three-year property-tax moratorium on homes under 1,000 square feet).

   – The **90:10 Heartland Cities Physical and Human Infrastructure Mission** (0.8 to 1.2 per cent of GDP annually), combining sunsetting mature-conglomerate subsidies with re-routed Union and State trunk capex, establishing the **Article 282 Small-City Wastewater and Urbanisation Mirror Window** alongside Sixteenth Finance Commission flows, and rewarding states that enact the **25 per cent Industrial Enclave Cess** on non-municipal townships under the Article 243Q(1) Proviso.

   – The functional-use safe-harbour proviso to **Section 31(i) of the SARFAESI Act, 2002** under **List I, Entry 45 (Banking)**, making four-way ULI 2.0 / CERSAI digital certification conclusive evidence of non-agricultural use with 30-day summary DRT disposal and a 50 per cent pre-deposit on Section 18 appeals.

4. **Days 91 to 180 (March to May 2027 — Credit Activation, Cluster Utilities, and Drone Mapping):** At the start of FY2027–28, the RBI activates ULI 2.0 CERSAI safe-harbour stamping, extends ₹10 crore CGTMSE parity to qualifying Small Finance Banks and Tier-1 Regional Rural Banks (with 20–25 per cent bank risk retention), and opens the **SIDBI Rurban Collateral Liquidity Window**. Concurrently, State Cabinets issuing municipal notifications under Article 243Q(2) draw down their 90:10 RBI Transition Escrows to launch **Cluster-Level Regional Water and Sewage Utilities** (135 litres per capita) and **Shared Cluster Municipal Cadres**, execute RDSS feeder separation for **24x7 urban commercial power** backed by ₹4.50-per-kilowatt-hour solar-and-battery microgrids, open secondary vocational labs, 24x7 public clinics, and *Palna* childcare crèches, and initiate the 18-month **Rurban-SVAMITVA** drone survey issuing one-time stamp-duty-free Joint Husband-and-Wife Property Cards (*Sampatti Patras*).

6.2 Decomposing the 2047 Dividend and Completing 1991's Unfinished Revolution

General-equilibrium counterfactuals calibrated to two decades of Indian district factory, household, gender-mobility, and thermal-productivity panels illustrate what is at stake between now and the centenary of independence in 2047. If India clings to its current barbell equilibrium—subsidising capital-intensive conglomerates in seven aging industrial states while keeping 8,014 emerging cities pacified on rural grain rations and starved of urban utilities—top-end corporate automation will hit a domestic demand ceiling imposed by 1.15 billion citizens living below $8.30 a day. Under that status-quo path, aggregate real GDP growth risks decelerating toward a premature middle-income plateau of **5.0 to 5.4 per cent a year**, leaving over three-fifths of Indians in informal precarity.

Accounting conservatively for general-equilibrium overlap across capital, labour, and productivity channels, modernising all 8,014 disguised cities—above all across the eight Heartland states supplying **68.4 per cent (more than 110 million)** of India's incoming young workers—lifts India's net sustainable real GDP growth trajectory by **1.8 to 2.5 percentage points annually**, enabling **7.5 to 8.0 per cent compounding** toward a $30 trillion economy by 2047 through three empirically grounded engines:

- **Agglomeration and Enterprise Scaling (+0.8 to +1.0 percentage points of annual GDP growth):** Pairing reliable urban utilities with $240 billion to $480 billion in enforceable ULI 2.0 urban property collateral at 9 to 11 per cent interest reverses Heartland bank deposit flight—lifting small-town Credit-to-Deposit ratios organically from 25–44 per cent toward 65–75 per cent—and compresses severe capital misallocation (Hsieh & Klenow, 2009, 2014). Allowing roughly one in ten unincorporated micro-enterprises (around 6.3 million firms) to scale over fifteen years from stunted five-person workshops into formal twenty-to-two-hundred-worker employers narrows India's 13-to-1 formal–informal wage chasm toward 3.5-to-1, igniting broad domestic consumer demand.
- **The Women's Urban Infrastructure and Human-Capital Dividend (+0.7 to +0.9 percentage points of annual GDP growth):** Abolishing the 1961 male-only Census blindfold, delivering 135-litre piped municipal water (returning up to 750 hours a year of unpaid water-carrying labour per woman), expanding *Palna* community childcare crèches and lit, safe local bus routes within 15-minute commutes, and backing Joint-Spousal Property Cards with a 150-to-200 basis-point interest rebate lifts paid female labour force participation from 32–37 per cent toward **55 to 60 per cent**, bringing tens of millions of educated women into formal non-farm careers and entrepreneurship.

- **Public-Health Resilience and Thermal Output Recovery (+0.3 to +0.6 percentage points of annual GDP growth):** Replacing depleted borewells and open septage dumping with Cluster Water and Sewage Utilities halts groundwater nitrate poisoning and insulates families from impoverishing out-of-pocket hospital shocks, while rewiring towns from rationed rural power feeders and ₹26-per-unit diesel onto feeder-separated 24x7 solar-backed urban commercial feeders and cool roofs recovers the **15 to 30 per cent summer indoor heat productivity loss** across Indian workshops (Somanathan et al., 2021). Over the seven-year graduation ramp, municipal own-source revenues climb from below 1 per cent toward 3 per cent of GDP with zero off-budget municipal debt, lifting nearly four-fifths of Indian households securely above the $8.30-a-day middle-class line by 2047.

In July 1991, India summoned the political courage to dismantle the industrial *License Raj* that shackled large private corporations in its metropolises—igniting thirty-five years of aggregate growth and helping banish sub-$3.00-a-day starvation from the land. Yet 1991 stopped at the municipal boundary, leaving colonial village land registers, 1961 gender-blind census formulas, and punitive welfare cliffs untouched across the exact small-town frontier where 120 million core residents and over 300 million corridor citizens subsequently built a new urban India.

A nation of 1.4 billion people cannot ration its way into the middle class, nor can a handful of subsidised automated megafactories employ 110 million young Heartland workers. These 8,014 invisible cities do not ask New Delhi for corporate bailouts or permanent charity. By protecting poor families' food rations during transition while redirecting public investment from mature conglomerates into the clean water, uninterrupted power, strong schools, public clinics, childcare, and municipal governance of Heartland towns, Indian policymakers can finish the unfinished revolution of 1991—and set 8,000 real cities free to build *Viksit Bharat 2047* from the ground up.

Selected References

Adam, C. S., & Bevan, D. L. (2005). Fiscal deficits and growth in developing countries. *Journal of Public Economics*, 89(4), 571–597.

Asher, S., & Novosad, P. (2020). Rural roads and local economic development. *American Economic Review*, 110(3), 797–823.

Central Ground Water Board [CGWB]. (2025–2026). *National Compilation on Dynamic Ground Water Resources of India and Annual Ground Water Quality Report*. Faridabad: Ministry of Jal Shakti, Government of India.

Chatterjee, U., Murgai, R., & Rama, M. (2015). *Job Opportunities along the Rural–Urban Gradation and Female Labor Force Participation in India* (Policy Research Working Paper No. 7412). Washington, DC: World Bank.

Constitution of India, Part IX & Part IX-A (Articles 243E, 243Q, 275, 280 & 282; Seventh Schedule, List I Entries 43, 45, 69, 94 & List II Entries 5, 18); *Saij Gram Panchayat v. State of Gujarat*, (1999) 2 SCC 366.

De Soto, H. (2000). *The Mystery of Capital: Why Capitalism Triumphs in the West and Fails Everywhere Else*. New York: Basic Books.

Denis, E., Mukhopadhyay, P., & Zérah, M.-H. (2012). Subaltern urbanisation in India. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 47(30), 52–62.

Desmet, K., Ghani, E., O'Connell, S., & Rossi-Hansberg, E. (2015). The spatial development of India. *Journal of Regional Science*, 55(1), 10–30.

Finance Commission of India [Sixteenth Finance Commission]. (2026). *Report of the Sixteenth Finance Commission for the Award Period 2026–2031: Volume I*. New Delhi: Government of India.

Ghani, E. (Ed.). (2010). *The Poor Half Billion in South Asia: What Is Holding Back Lagging Regions?* Oxford and New Delhi: Oxford University Press.

Ghani, E. (Forthcoming). *The Second Republic: A Charter to Remake the Indian State and Unleash a Nation's Potential* (ISBN 9780197914885). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ghani, E. (Forthcoming). *Co-Architects of Prosperity: How India and the Global South Will Create the Next Billion Jobs*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ghani, E., Grover Goswami, A., & Kerr, W. R. (2016). Highway to success: The impact of the Golden Quadrilateral project for the location and performance of Indian manufacturing. *The Economic Journal*, 126(591), 317–357.

Ghani, E., Kerr, W. R., & O'Connell, S. D. (2013). Local industrial structures and female entrepreneurship in India. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 13(6), 929–964.

Ghani, E., Kerr, W. R., & O'Connell, S. D. (2014). Spatial determinants of entrepreneurship in India. *Regional Studies*, 48(6), 1071–1089.

Gollin, D., Jedwab, R., & Vollrath, D. (2016). Urbanization with and without industrialization. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 21(1), 35–70.

Hsieh, C.-T., & Klenow, P. J. (2009). Misallocation and manufacturing TFP in China and India. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 124(4), 1403–1448.

Hsieh, C.-T., & Klenow, P. J. (2014). The life cycle of plants in India and Mexico. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 129(3), 1035–1084.

Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act, 2005 (Act No. 42 of 2005, Schedule I); National Food Security Act, 2013 (Act No. 20 of 2013, Section 3).

Mukhopadhyay, P., Zérah, M.-H., Samanta, G., & Maria, A. (2020). *Understanding India's Urban Frontier: What Is Behind the Emergence of Census Towns in India?* Washington, DC: World Bank.

Office of the Registrar General and Census Commissioner of India. (1961, 2011, 2026). *Criteria for Classification of Urban Areas (Statutory Towns and Census Towns)*; Standing Committee on Housing and Urban Affairs (2026), *Report on Urban Classification Ahead of Census 2027*. New Delhi: Government of India.

Reserve Bank of India [RBI]. (2026). *Basic Statistical Returns of Scheduled Commercial Banks in India* and *Unified Lending Interface (ULI) Architecture*. Mumbai: RBI.

Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest [SARFAESI] Act, 2002 (Sections 17, 18 & 31[i]); Supreme Court of India rulings in *Mardia Chemicals Ltd. v. Union of India*, (2004) 4 SCC 311; *ITC Ltd. v. Blue Coast Hotels Ltd.*, (2018) 15 SCC 99; *Indian Bank v. K. Pappireddiyar*, (2018) 18 SCC 252; and *K. Sreedhar v. Raus Constructions Pvt. Ltd.*, (2023) 11 SCC 169.

Somanathan, E., Somanathan, R., Sudarshan, A., & Tewari, M. (2021). The impact of temperature on productivity and labor supply: Evidence from Indian manufacturing. *Journal of Political Economy*, 129(6), 1797–1827.

World Bank. (2022). *Financing India's Urban Infrastructure Needs: Constraints to Commercial Financing and Prospects for Policy Action*. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.

World Bank. (2026, October). *Poverty and Equity Brief: India (2021 PPP Benchmarks)*. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.