PP No-14/2026

EGROW Foundation
FOUNDATION FOR ECONOMIC
GROWTH & WELFARE

EGROW POLICY PAPER

From Job Seekers to Job Creators: Harnessing the Entrepreneurial Potential of India's Armed Forces Veterans

Col (Dr) Mohinder Pal Singh (Retd.)

November 2026

EGROW Foundation Policy Papers is a research piece focusing on specific policy issue that provide clear recommendation for policy maker. The views expressed in EGROW Policy Papers are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the EGROW Foundation, Executive Board, or EGROW Foundation management.

From Job Seekers to Job Creators:
Harnessing the Entrepreneurial Potential of India's Armed Forces Veterans

Col (Dr) Mohinder Pal Singh (Retd) [1]

Abstract

India's Armed Forces release approximately 60,000 personnel annually, many with substantial remaining working lives and accumulated leadership, technical and organisational skills. The resettlement system provides valuable training, placement and self-employment assistance. Yet a distinctive policy opportunity remains: to support veterans who wish to create and grow enterprises rather than solely seek jobs. This paper proposes an Armed Forces Veterans Entrepreneurship and Incubation Hub (AFVEIH), a tri-services, partnership-based framework connecting existing resettlement institutions to incubators, finance, business services, industry and the defence innovation ecosystem. It outlines a differentiated training and mentoring pathway, an initial 12-month pilot, governance safeguards, a provisional resource model and measurable outcomes. The central proposition is that veterans should be assessed not only as beneficiaries of second-career employment but also as potential creators of productive enterprises and employment for others.

Keywords: ex-servicemen; entrepreneurship; military transition; incubation; resettlement; defence innovation; MSMEs; Aatmanirbhar Bharat.

[1] Founder Director, EGROW Foundation

1. Introduction: A Human-Capital Opportunity

Military service is a major public investment in human capital. Personnel acquire leadership, operational planning, resource stewardship, technical skills, logistics capabilities, resilience and experience of working in complex teams. When individuals retire or are released, these capabilities do not disappear. Their transfer to civilian economic activity is therefore both a welfare concern and a productivity question.

The Directorate General Resettlement (DGR) reports that approximately 60,000 personnel retire from active service annually. This figure covers Armed Forces personnel collectively and should not be interpreted as an annual officer-retirement estimate. Retirement ages, educational profiles, savings and business aspirations differ substantially by rank, service, trade and release circumstances. Accordingly, an entrepreneurship policy should be voluntary and differentiated, not based on the assumption that every veteran is suited to enterprise ownership.

A conventional resettlement approach asks how a veteran can find appropriate employment. A complementary approach asks whether a motivated veteran can build a viable enterprise, serve customers, employ others and contribute to regional growth. The latter requires much more than classroom training: market validation, legal and financial advice, working capital, mentorship and sustained access to customers.

2. The Second-Career Challenge

Veterans often face a civilian labour market that evaluates sector-specific commercial experience differently from military experience. Senior officers may possess extensive leadership experience yet be offered roles that do not fully use it; technical personnel may need credential translation or business-specific skills. Suitable opportunities can also be geographically concentrated, while veterans may prefer to live near their families or in their home districts after prolonged service-related mobility.

These observations are plausible policy hypotheses, not yet quantified national findings. A robust programme should begin with a baseline survey of retiring personnel covering preferred location, entrepreneurial intent, financial readiness, sector interests, existing networks and perceived barriers. The survey should also examine the particular circumstances of women veterans, disabled veterans, widows and personnel from rural backgrounds.

Entrepreneurship is not automatically preferable to salaried work. Business failure can erode retirement savings; debt can impose family stress; and the quality of opportunities varies sharply. A credible programme must therefore combine opportunity with informed risk

assessment, consumer protection, staged financing and a clear option to return to placement services.

3. What Exists—and What Is Missing

DGR, an inter-service organisation under the Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, already undertakes resettlement training, employment facilitation and entrepreneurship/self-employment schemes. Its established models include security agencies and other designated commercial activities. This is a substantial institutional foundation and should not be displaced.

The proposed gap is narrower and more specific: a common, end-to-end pathway from identification of an entrepreneurial idea to a sustainable enterprise, supported by tailored business incubation, sector mentors, market access, financing advice and follow-up over multiple years. Existing resettlement schemes can be connected to recognised incubation providers without duplicating their functions.

The Defence Innovation Organisation's iDEX framework offers a relevant model for technology-oriented ventures. It already works with start-ups, MSMEs, innovators and partner incubators. However, defence innovation is only one segment of the veteran opportunity. Many viable enterprises may be in logistics, education, repair, agribusiness, hospitality, healthcare support, services or local manufacturing. AFVEIH should therefore have both a general enterprise pathway and a specialised defence/dual-use pathway.

4. The Economic Rationale

A successful veteran-led enterprise may generate direct self-employment, paid employment, demand for suppliers and tax revenue. It may also disperse economic activity beyond major metropolitan centres. These benefits are conditional: the enterprise must meet real demand, operate productively and survive. Counting business registrations alone would overstate impact.

The potential advantage of veterans is not an inherent superiority as entrepreneurs. Rather, it is a combination of transferable skills, practical domain knowledge and professional networks that may reduce some execution risks. Commercial skills, customer discovery and financial discipline must still be learned. The policy case for public support rests on transition-related information gaps, fragmented support services and the potential social returns from employment and innovation.

The strongest intervention is thus a relatively light coordinating platform that improves access to existing public and private capabilities, rather than a permanent subsidised institution that selects business winners or guarantees loans.

5. Proposed Institutional Architecture: AFVEIH

The Armed Forces Veterans Entrepreneurship and Incubation Hub (AFVEIH) is proposed as a tri-services programme, anchored administratively through the appropriate Ministry of Defence resettlement authority, with coordination from the Department of Military Affairs and Service veterans' organisations. Formal allocation of responsibilities would require interdepartmental approval; the proposal does not presume that the CDS alone can sanction a new scheme or funding line.

Five functions

First, outreach and screening: brief personnel before retirement and invite voluntary applications, with independent assessment of motivation, business concept, market feasibility and financial exposure. Second, entrepreneurship education: deliver modular training through existing institutions. Third, incubation: refer candidates to accredited sector-specific incubators and expert mentors. Fourth, facilitation: provide access to professional panels, financing information, registration assistance and industry connections. Fifth, longitudinal monitoring: track enterprise performance, jobs, credit exposure and participant welfare for at least 24 months.

Two pathways

The general enterprise pathway would support conventional MSMEs and service businesses with modest capital requirements and local markets. The innovation pathway would support technology-intensive, defence and dual-use ventures, including those eligible for iDEX challenges. Entry into iDEX would remain subject to its independent rules, competitive selection and procurement safeguards; veteran status should not confer automatic grants or contracts.

Delhi-first institutional and physical model

The first AFVEIH should be located in Delhi under Ministry of Defence oversight, with a clearly designated nodal agency and a tri-services steering mechanism. Existing MoD accommodation may be assessed before any new capital construction. The Delhi hub should contain shared workstations, meeting rooms, training facilities, digital connectivity and access to professional service desks. This is a proposed institutional arrangement, subject to MoD approval and coordination with DESW/DGR and DMA.

Two-stage entry and 24-month handholding

Stage I should comprise one to two months of introductory entrepreneurship training, open to a screened cohort. Completion of training should not automatically entitle a participant to

space. Stage II should offer dedicated or shared incubator accommodation to motivated participants with validated ideas, clear milestones and acceptable risk assessments. Selected enterprises should receive structured handholding for the first 24 months, with periodic reviews and an exit or graduation policy.

One-stop professional and workforce facilitation

The centre should bring together bank liaison officers, chartered accountants, company secretaries, tax and legal advisers, financial planners, HR specialists, marketing and digital-commerce experts, technology mentors, procurement advisers and relevant government facilitation desks. It should also help enterprises access reliable labour and support staff through skill-development agencies, placement networks, apprenticeships and vetted service providers, while complying with applicable labour laws. Services may be delivered by scheduled clinics and empanelled partners rather than requiring every specialist to be employed full-time.

State expansion through military infrastructure

Following evaluation of the Delhi pilot, the model should expand progressively into states. Co-location with Army Area Headquarters or Sub Area Headquarters may offer administrative reach and access to veterans, subject to security, land-use and resource approvals. Where Army facilities are unsuitable, tri-service or civilian partner facilities should be used to ensure equal access for Navy and Air Force veterans. Regional hubs should operate with common standards, shared digital services and local market linkages.

Possible fiscal incentives

The Ministry of Defence may seek Ministry of Finance examination of time-bound, targeted tax relief for qualifying veteran-owned start-ups, potentially linked to new employment, formalisation and business survival. Any tax holiday would require legislative or regulatory authority, a fiscal impact assessment, anti-abuse safeguards and compatibility with existing tax provisions. Alternative support could include incubation-fee waivers, professional-service vouchers and credit-guarantee access; no incentive is assumed to be presently available.

Budget and implementation implications

The Delhi-first physical centre and two-year support obligation would require a fresh bottom-up cost estimate for premises, personnel, service desks, training, mentor contracts, technology, monitoring and regional replication. The earlier indicative pilot budget should not be treated as sufficient for this expanded model. A staged approval process should distinguish pilot-year operating expenditure from the full 24-month cohort liability.

6. Curriculum and Mentoring Model

An initial one-to-two-month foundation course could combine 40–60 hours of instruction with practical assignments. Topics would include customer discovery, business-model design, unit economics, cash-flow forecasting, taxation and GST, incorporation options, contracts, digital marketing, hiring, quality control, procurement and cybersecurity. A subsequent three-month incubation phase could focus on testing products, securing initial customers and building financial records. Hybrid delivery would reduce travel burdens and permit participation from home districts.

Every participant should produce a concise business plan and a cash-flow forecast before approaching lenders. Financial literacy should include the distinction between personal retirement funds and enterprise capital, collateral risk, loan repayment obligations and insurance. A mentor pool comprising experienced entrepreneurs, veterans, chartered accountants, company secretaries and sector specialists could provide monthly reviews for 12–24 months. Mentoring quality should be assessed by participant feedback and enterprise outcomes, not simply hours delivered.

7. Financing and Market Access

AFVEIH should not initially operate as a lending institution. It should help candidates understand available bank credit, MSME programmes, seed capital, equity financing and sector-specific schemes. Financing decisions should remain with regulated lenders or investors under their established appraisal processes. Public support could cover training, incubation vouchers and common professional services, with transparent eligibility and procurement.

For non-technology businesses, early access to customers is often more important than seed grants. The Hub should organise local buyer–seller meets, industry partnerships, digital commerce orientation and business-development clinics. Defence-related ventures could be introduced to appropriate challenge programmes and procurement information, while avoiding privileged access to operationally sensitive information or procurement decision-makers.

8. Defence Innovation and Aatmanirbhar Bharat

Veterans may be particularly well placed to articulate user needs in equipment maintenance, simulation, logistics, communications, drones, protective systems and training technology. Their operational knowledge can improve problem definition, but technical development still requires engineering capability, testing, certification and commercial discipline. Partnerships with universities, laboratories, industry and iDEX incubators would therefore be essential.

This pathway should include explicit conflict-of-interest, confidentiality and intellectual-property safeguards. Retired personnel must not disclose classified information or use informal relationships to influence procurement. Solutions should be assessed through open, competitive and technically rigorous processes. These safeguards protect both the Services and veteran entrepreneurs.

9. A Twelve-Month Demonstration Pilot

The initial AFVEIH should be established in Delhi under the Ministry of Defence, with an appropriately designated administrative authority. A feasible starting point is a cohort of 50–100 applicants drawn voluntarily from all three Services and multiple ranks. The Delhi centre should provide a physical incubator, supported by partner institutions and a national virtual platform. After the initial training, applicants demonstrating interest and credible business ideas should be considered for incubator space through a transparent selection process. Candidate selection should be transparent, with representation across sectors and regions, and no expectation that all participants must incorporate a company.

Indicative phases

Months 1–2: governance approvals, Delhi facility and partner selection, outreach and screening. Months 3–4: initial one-to-two-month training and selection for incubator space. Months 5–9: on-site incubation, professional clinics, mentor assignment and customer discovery. Months 10–12: enterprise launch support, independent assessment and decision on continuation. A further 12–24 months of tracking would be necessary to assess survival and employment outcomes.

Pilot financing: illustrative, subject to detailed costing

For planning discussion only, a 100-person cohort might be modelled with programme management and digital infrastructure (₹20–30 lakh), training delivery (₹20–30 lakh), incubation/mentoring vouchers (₹30–45 lakh), professional clinics and outreach (₹10–15 lakh), and independent evaluation/contingency (₹10–15 lakh). This implies a broad provisional envelope of ₹90 lakh–₹1.35 crore, excluding any loans, grants to enterprises, premises construction or in-kind partner contributions. A detailed costed proposal and competitive partner quotations would be required before budget submission.

10. Governance, Evaluation and Risks

A small steering committee should include representatives of DESW/DGR, the three Services, DMA as appropriate, incubation partners, industry and independent evaluation expertise. Operational delivery should be contracted to competent existing providers. The committee

should publish selection criteria, conflict-of-interest rules, procurement arrangements and quarterly progress summaries.

A results framework should distinguish outputs from outcomes. Outputs include applications, course completion, validated plans and businesses registered. Outcomes include active enterprises at 12 and 24 months, sales, operating cash flow, jobs created (with full-time-equivalent definitions), external capital mobilised, repayment stress and participant satisfaction. Wherever possible, evaluation should compare outcomes with similar applicants who did not receive incubation, while acknowledging selection bias and small pilot size.

Principal risks include weak market demand, debt overexposure, capture by training vendors, selection favouring senior ranks, poor mentoring quality and unrealistic expectations of defence procurement. Mitigations include staged progression, independent business screening, lender autonomy, rank-neutral selection, grievance channels, audited expenditure and explicit warnings that entrepreneurship involves financial risk.

11. Policy Recommendations

1. Commission a tri-services feasibility study and baseline survey on veterans' entrepreneurial interest, skills and constraints.
2. Create an AFVEIH pilot within the existing resettlement architecture, with clear roles for DGR, DMA and partners.
3. Establish a modest, dedicated Delhi incubator under MoD oversight, using existing government premises where feasible, and partner with accredited incubators and professional networks.
4. Offer two distinct tracks: general MSME entrepreneurship and defence/dual-use innovation.
5. Introduce pre-retirement awareness, one-to-two-month foundation training, selective allocation of incubator space and a guaranteed programme of structured handholding for up to 24 months, subject to transparent participation conditions.
6. Keep lending, investment and procurement decisions independent, transparent and competitive.
7. Measure enterprise survival, revenue, job creation and participant financial welfare—not registrations alone.
8. Expand progressively to state-level hubs, preferably co-located with Army Area/Sub Area Headquarters or suitable tri-service facilities, after pilot evaluation and consultation with the Services.

12. Conclusion

India's military resettlement system should continue helping veterans secure dignified second careers. It can also develop a complementary route for those prepared to take the risks and responsibilities of entrepreneurship. The proposed AFVEIH would connect existing resettlement services with incubators, finance, mentors and markets through a modest, measurable pilot. Its success should not be judged by the number of training certificates issued, but by the durability of businesses created, the quality of livelihoods supported and the jobs generated for others.

The policy shift is therefore from an exclusive focus on "How many veterans found employment?" to an additional question: "How many viable enterprises did veterans build, and how many people do those enterprises employ?"

References and Source Notes

- Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, Ministry of Defence. "Directorate General Resettlement." https://www.desw.gov.in/index.php/en/about-dgr (accessed November 2026).
- Defence Innovation Organisation. "iDEX Partner Incubators." https://idex.gov.in/partners/ (accessed November 2026).
- Defence Innovation Organisation. "iDEX Frequently Asked Questions." https://www.idex.gov.in/faq (accessed November 2026).
- Department of Defence Production. iDEX programme and innovation framework. https://www.ddpmod.gov.in/ (accessed November 2026).
- EGROW Foundation. "Working Paper" series listing. https://egrowfoundation.org/research/working-paper/ (accessed November 2026).
- Author's concept note: "Armed Forces Veterans Entrepreneurship & Incubation Hub (AFVEIH): From Job Seekers to Job Creators," October 2026 (unpublished).

Research note: *This is a policy concept paper based on publicly available institutional descriptions and the author's experience, not an empirical impact evaluation. Claims about placement mismatch and entrepreneurial outcomes should be tested through the proposed baseline research. All cost estimates are illustrative.*